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ABSTRACT

This research article looks at the ramifications of autonomous weapon systems within the context of international humanitarian law. The author discusses the most recent breakthroughs in autonomous weapons, their features, and the benefits to the parties that use them. The study investigates how autonomous weapons comply with treaty law, focusing on the Geneva Conventions, the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, and Article 36 of Additional Protocol I. The study also examines how customary international law concepts can be applied to autonomous weapons. To provide policymakers and other relevant authorities the clarity on the subject, the author has also offered some recommendations in the concluding section. In addition to analyzing the legal framework surrounding autonomous weapon systems, this research article delves into the ethical considerations associated with their deployment. The author explores the potential consequences of autonomous weapons on human rights, accountability, and the moral implications of delegating lethal decision-making to machines. By scrutinizing the intersection of international humanitarian law and ethical considerations, the article aims to contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted challenges posed by autonomous weapon systems. The concluding section not only offers recommendations for legal compliance but also emphasizes the importance of a holistic approach that integrates ethical considerations into the regulatory landscape governing these advanced technologies.
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1. Introduction

Autonomous Weapon Systems, always a highlight in science-fiction movies and books, are no longer a figment of our imagination. Autonomous weaponry is a generic phase that includes a list of incredibly complicated and high-technology systems that have the potential to change the face of how conflicts in the modern era are conducted. These technologies can include automated missile targeting systems and robotic ground and air vehicles, raising many legal and ethical concerns that will be addressed in the following paper (Waxman, 2013). International law has evolved with the perception that there will always be a human being on the other side of a weapon, allowing principles of humanitarian law to be applied to regulate the conduct of hostilities during a conflict. However, as the world changes to incorporate “killer robots” in modern warfare, contemporary international humanitarian law must be vividly re-examined in light of autonomous weapon systems and their potential to revolutionize and change the world of warfare (Kanwar, 2011).

All of these questions have significant ramifications for the future in terms of conflicts and warfare. These can easily be examined by witnessing the vivid descriptions of how autonomous weapon systems are changing how wars are fought and strategic military decisions are made (Jensen, 2014). Human history has shown that military conflict has evolved to become a fundamental and inevitable part of human societies, only enhancing in their intensity as technologies evolve to develop far more destructive means of warfare. Autonomous weapon systems can enhance the offensive and defensive capabilities of a military force, while greatly reducing the risk posed to human resources in any active conflict; making them feasible in the eyes of military commanders who are appealed by the idea of fighting wars without losing valuable soldiers (Crootof, 2015).

Before proceeding further, it is important to establish a clear definition of autonomous weapon systems. While there is no generally accepted legal definition, autonomous weapons can be defined as machines that can make decisions regarding the deployment of weapons without any human input or meaningful human control (Crootof, 2015). In simpler words, autonomous weapon systems are robotic weapons that can select and engage targets without any influence or control of a human operator. As these weapons differ greatly from conventional means of warfare, they give rise to legal challenges for international humanitarian law.

For instance, in conventional weapon systems that are manned or, in some cases, piloted remotely, there is a human operator who takes the lethal action, exercising a process of deliberation that leads to the deployment of the weapon. On the other hand, autonomous systems lack any human operators as they perform these critical functions, raising grave concerns about the compliance of autonomous weapon systems with the law of armed conflict and targeting rules under international humanitarian law. They rely on software algorithms and related technologies that do not have the necessary cognitive sophistication and deliberative reasoning as a human mind does, making it possible for them to make potentially unlawful targeting decisions that can increase the risk of civilian casualties.

However, despite such technical issues and the fact that there is a strong opposition to their development, autonomous weapons have apparent advantages as well. One of these is the military advantage they can offer, potentially increasing the might of any military exponentially. It can effectively reduce any human errors or imperfections on a battlefield, preventing war crimes from occurring entirely while greatly reducing the risk posed to civilian populations. Such arguments give rise to the belief that a ban on autonomous weapons is unlikely, and they will not only be developed but actively fielded by states shortly (Crootof, 2015).
Against the backdrop of the discussion conducted above, this paper will focus on the various types of autonomous weapon systems in development, the rules that apply to such weapons, and their compliance with international humanitarian law.

2. Research Methodology

The research methodology consists of two key parts: an in-depth review of the literature and a thorough legal analysis. The first phase entails an extensive review of existing literature on autonomous weapons, with a focus on operational aspects and related technologies. This literature review will include academic journals, books, reports, and credible online sources, and will take a narrative approach to ensure a thorough yet precise study of the available literature.

The primary goal of this literature review is to develop a thorough understanding of technological advances in autonomous weapons, explaining their distinctive features and operational capacities. The literature review lays the groundwork for the subsequent legal analysis by defining the technical landscape and highlighting key intersections between legal considerations and technological aspects.

With a focus on autonomous weapons in particular, the second part of the research methodology comprises a thorough legal review of relevant laws governing the development of modern weapons. This entails a thorough analysis of national legislation, international treaties, conventions, and customary international laws about the use of weapons in armed conflict. The legal review includes a detailed examination of national laws and regulations about autonomous weapons as well as an analysis of important instruments like the Additional Protocols to the Geneva Conventions and other pertinent international legal agreements.

The relevant legal provisions and principles shall be interpreted by the interpretive guidelines furnished by scholarly legal opinions, international courts, and authoritative legal opinions. The primary objective of the legal review is to determine whether autonomous weapons comply with current legal frameworks, to pinpoint regulatory gaps or obstacles, and to investigate possible paths for guaranteeing responsible development and application by international humanitarian law.

Combining technical and legal viewpoints, the literature review and legal analysis are integrated to create a coherent research methodology. A thorough understanding of autonomous weapons will result from the synthesis of findings from these dimensions, which will serve as the foundation for further analyses and conversations about the legal ramifications of these systems.

3. Autonomous Weapon Systems

To lay a solid foundation for the subsequent arguments, it is imperative to first clarify and define the terminologies associated with autonomous weapon systems. Different names have been used to refer to these systems, and understanding these distinctions is crucial for effective communication and comprehension.

Some autonomous weapon systems have been referred to as “killer robots” in some contexts, especially in news articles and popular media. It is significant to remember that these systems have not yet been completely developed and are not in use (Singer, 2009). This phrase may unintentionally mislead the public about the true state of autonomous weapon technology, even though it draws attention and conjures up strong images.

On the other hand, autonomous weapon systems have been referred to by a number of names in academic and military publications. These phrases are used in the context of this paper and include “autonomous weapon systems,” “lethal autonomous robots,” and “lethal autonomous weapon systems.” By using these
terms, the research hopes to conform to the jargon and vocabulary that are frequently employed in both military and academic contexts.

Through recognition of the different names that are applied to autonomous weapon systems, this study aims to improve the lucidity and accuracy of its interpretation. To ensure that the discussion is based on a correct understanding of the subject matter and to facilitate effective communication, precise and accurate terminology must be used.

4. **Features of Autonomous Weapons**

Several unique characteristics distinguish autonomous weapons from conventional weapon systems. Their advanced technological capabilities, especially in the fields of robotics and artificial intelligence, are the source of these features (Toscano, 2015). We can learn more about the possible uses and consequences of autonomous weapons by comprehending their technical aspects.

4.1. **Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning**

Sophisticated AI algorithms installed in autonomous weapons allow them to learn from data, adjust to changing circumstances, and make decisions on their own based on predetermined goals (Russell & Norvig, 2016). These weapons can analyze massive amounts of data, identify patterns, and improve their responses over time thanks to machine learning techniques. Due to this capability, autonomous weapons can react quickly and independently to shifting conditions on the battlefield.

4.2. **Sensor Technologies**

Different sensor technologies are used by autonomous weapons to sense and communicate with their surroundings. These sensors allow the weapons to collect information about their environment in real-time. Examples of these sensors are cameras, lidar, radar systems, and other specialized sensors. These sensors give the weapons the essential data for obstacle detection, situational awareness, and target identification, enabling them to maneuver and engage targets successfully.

4.3. **Communication and Networking**

A lot of autonomous weapons are made to function as a component of a networked system, which makes it easier for different units to coordinate and communicate with one another. According to Bhosal (2016), the networked capability of autonomous weapons facilitates coordinated actions, improved situational awareness, and cooperative decision-making. Secure and dependable data exchange is ensured by communication protocols and encryption technologies, lowering the possibility of adversaries interfering or gaining unauthorized access.

4.4. **Decision-Making Algorithms**

Advanced algorithms for decision-making are used by autonomous weapons to evaluate incoming data, identify possible threats, and choose the best course of action. According to Russell and Norvig (2016), these algorithms are made to set priorities for goals, assess the pros and cons, and make choices based on pre-established guidelines. The weapons can make quick decisions based on real-time considerations like target identification, rules of engagement, and the possibility of collateral damage.

4.5. **Targeting and Engagement Systems**

Automated weapons are equipped with advanced targeting and engagement mechanisms that allow them to precisely engage targets. Precision guidance mechanisms, like GPS or inertial navigation systems, are utilized by these systems to guarantee precise positioning and control over trajectory. The weapons'
precision in engaging moving targets is improved by the use of sophisticated tracking and image recognition algorithms that aid in target acquisition and tracking.

4.6. Redundancy and Fail-Safe Mechanisms

Autonomous weapons frequently include redundancy and fail-safe mechanisms to guarantee operational reliability and safety. To reduce the chance of single-point failures, these include backup power supplies, communication networks, and sensors. Emergency shutdown protocols, fail-over systems, and built-in safety measures are examples of fail-safe mechanisms that stop unwanted or unauthorized actions.

5. Greater Autonomy in Weapon Systems

While not important to discuss in a legal context, reflecting on the reasons why weapon systems are becoming autonomous can provide important conceptual background for the study while allowing the researcher to understand why targeting rules are becoming increasingly difficult to apply. Primarily, there are three major drivers of autonomy:

5.1. Advancement in Development of Autonomous Technologies

In recent years, there have been advances in artificial intelligence and related technologies, making the concept of autonomy in machines a viable reality (Kelly, 2014). These include deeper and highly complicated algorithms, unparalleled computational powers, and the ability to process incredible amounts of data at blazing speeds (Made, 2023). These robots excel at numerous activities and can be trained using nearly all types of data, from defeating chess champions to shooting down human pilots in a simulated training exercise (Ernest & Cohen, 2015).

The proliferation of these technological advancements signifies a significant shift in the capabilities of machines, particularly in the context of warfare. As the boundaries of AI and related technologies continue to expand, it is merely a matter of time before these highly intricate algorithms are integrated into physical machines, ultimately transforming them into formidable weapons systems. The incorporation of autonomous algorithms into actual machines endowed with the capacity for lethal actions heralds a new era in warfare, potentially revolutionizing the nature of armed conflicts and raising complex ethical and legal questions.

6. Advantages of Utilizing Autonomous Weapons – A Look at Features

Without a doubt, autonomous weapons offer many military advantages that will increase the military might of the deploying forces. These include:

6.1. Operating without communication links and supply lines

Machines do not have to be continuously linked through communication channels or supply lines, allowing them to operate on their own with minimum risk of being jammed or hacked by the enemy in the field (Hecht, 2011).

Autonomous machines can be incredibly efficient and effective when conducting reconnaissance in areas where communications are restricted. These devices can navigate and gather vital information without requiring constant updates or guidance from human operators because they do not rely on real-time communication. This ability increases their adaptability and resilience in scenarios where the adversary deliberately obstructs communication channels, making it difficult for conventional human-operated systems to perform as intended (The Economist, 2018).
When driving in hazardous conditions, autonomous cars have a clear advantage. Autonomous vehicles do not need constant access to supply lines or logistical support, in contrast to their human-operated counterparts. They can operate for extended periods and complete missions in hostile or remote areas where it may be difficult or impractical to establish and maintain supply lines thanks to this attribute. Enhanced independence permits enhanced adaptability and durability under demanding operational circumstances.

6.2. Responsiveness and Speed of Machine Response

In military operations, machines are unmatched due to their extraordinary speed and capacity to process large amounts of data at a much faster rate than humans. According to Russell and Norvig (2016), autonomous systems have the potential to revolutionize various aspects of warfare due to their increased processing capacity, which allows them to analyze and respond to a wide range of situations quickly.

Because of this feature, machines can respond to enemy attacks very quickly. The speed at which autonomous systems can process data enables them to evaluate and analyze threats as well as countermeasures. They can react to threats promptly and precisely because of this. Military forces' ability to successfully repel enemy attacks and defend their people and property can be significantly enhanced by this flexibility in reaction.

Autonomous machines have the potential to greatly enhance humanitarian conditions in conflict areas. They can be used, for example, to disarm enemy explosives or remove hazardous materials safely, reducing the risks faced by human bomb disposal experts. Because autonomous systems can process information quickly and accurately, they can identify and control explosive devices more effectively. This capability could reduce the impact of explosive hazards on non-combative areas and potentially save lives.

Autonomous machines, because of their fast data processing capabilities, can react quickly and evade enemy fire. Military personnel can thrive in hazardous environments if they can quickly identify dangers and take appropriate action (Horowitz et., 2019). Autonomous systems have the potential to decrease casualties and increase the overall effectiveness of military operations because of their capacity to react swiftly to incoming fire, assess the best course of defense, and execute evasive actions.

6.3. Effective Resource Utilization

The fact that autonomous weapons don't require human crews or individual operators means they can save money on maintenance and salaries, which is one of their main benefits. With autonomous weapons, there is no longer a need for crew members or related expenses, unlike traditional weapon systems that require specialized personnel to operate. In the long term, this cost-cutting measure can be especially important since it relieves financial pressure on military budgets and frees up funds for other vital initiatives (Toscano, 2015).

Additionally capable of conducting extended patrols or loitering operations are autonomous weapons that have been fitted with pattern-recognition capabilities. These features enable autonomous systems to gather intelligence over extended periods, recognize patterns of activity, and continuously monitor designated areas. Autonomous weapons can act as force multipliers by efficiently completing surveillance tasks, which lessens the requirement for ongoing human presence and monitoring activities (Sassoli, 2014) While autonomous systems maintain a close eye on things and quickly notify operators when a kinetic action is thought to be required, human operators can concentrate on other important tasks.
Autonomous weapons can function with little human oversight or intervention, which lessens the need for direct human involvement in the day-to-day maintenance of these systems. Rather than being in charge of every weapon themselves, human operators can take on a supervisory role, monitoring autonomous weapons and making sure they follow established rules of engagement (Etzioni, & Etzioni, 2018). Military personnel can now be assigned to more crucial responsibilities, like intelligence analysis, strategic planning, or decision-making processes involving human judgment and contextual awareness. This is made possible by the change from direct control to supervision.

### 7. Autonomous Weapons and International Humanitarian Law

Examining autonomous weapon systems through a legal lens is essential given how quickly the field is developing. The legal analysis of autonomous weapons is the main topic of this section, with a focus on customary and treaty law. Understanding the role that legal frameworks play in evaluating the creation and application of autonomous weapons can help us better understand the implications, constraints, and moral issues related to these cutting-edge military technologies.

### 8. The Importance of Legal Review

It is crucial to conduct a thorough legal review of autonomous weapons for several reasons. First and foremost, it guarantees adherence to international legal commitments and standards, protecting human rights, humanitarian ideals, and the rule of law during armed conflicts. Legal scrutiny of autonomous weapon systems helps to prevent needless suffering and protect civilians by identifying and addressing possible abuses and violations (ICJ, 1996).

Conducting a legal review offers states, legislators, and military personnel clarification and direction concerning the creation, application, and utilization of autonomous weapons. It helps to stop the unchecked spread and abuse of these technologies by establishing limits, protections, and accountability systems (ICJ, 1996).


An essential cornerstone in the legal assessment of new weapons, which includes autonomous weapon systems, is treaty law. Treaty law, which is defined as "an international agreement concluded between States in written form and governed by international law," offers a framework for controlling the creation, use, and disposal of weapons. It lays out duties, restrictions, and accountabilities that states must follow while attempting to develop their armed forces. However, civil society, watchdogs, and jurists authenticate whether a treaty is observed and implemented by the state to fulfill certain obligations (Bakhsh et al., 2023).

Examining existing treaties and agreements to ascertain their applicability and sufficiency in addressing the particular challenges posed by these cutting-edge technologies is crucial when evaluating autonomous weapons under treaty law. Furthermore, it is imperative to investigate the interpretation and developing comprehension of treaty provisions concerning autonomous weapon systems to guarantee adherence and responsibility.

### 10. Customary Law: Reflecting State Practice and Opinion Juris

When it comes to the legal assessment of new weapons, especially autonomous weapon systems, customary law is a major influence. It is based on state customs that have existed for a considerable amount of time and is acknowledged when there is proof of state customs over this time and when it is believed that these customs are required by law.
Analyzing state practices and opinio juris about the creation and application of these technologies is essential for determining the legitimacy of autonomous weapons under customary law (Blake & Imburgia, 2010). Examining official statements, military doctrines, national policies, and pertinent state actions is part of this analysis to determine the accepted customs and how they relate to autonomous weapon systems.

11. Compliance of Autonomous Weapons with International Law

There are particular difficulties when it comes to autonomous weapons adhering to international law. This section will address whether autonomous weapons are compliant with international law, with a particular emphasis on treaty law—including Additional Protocol I's Article 36—and customary international law. Below, the author will also talk about the issues autonomous weapons have in respecting the fundamentals of international humanitarian law.

11.1. Compliance of Autonomous Weapons with Treaty Law

Conventions on Certain Conventional Weapons and the Geneva Conventions are two examples of classic treaty law frameworks that regulate weapons and warfare (CCW). The legal bases for waging war and guaranteeing the safety of both combatants and civilians have been established in large part thanks to these frameworks. But it's crucial to remember that these clauses were primarily created with conventional weapons in mind, so they might not fully address the complex issues raised by autonomous weapons (Krishnan, 2016).

12. Geneva Conventions:

The cornerstone of international humanitarian law is the Geneva Conventions, which are made up of four treaties and their supplementary protocols. They list the rights and defenses afforded to all parties engaged in armed conflict, both combatants and civilians. The Geneva Conventions offer a thorough framework for governing armed conflicts, but their provisions were primarily created to deal with conventional warfare styles that involve direct human control over weaponry.


A framework treaty known as the CCW attempts to forbid or restrict the use of particular kinds of conventional weapons that have the potential to cause excessive harm or have indiscriminate effects. Protocols addressing different types of weapons, including landmines, incendiary weapons, and weapons with blinding lasers, are included. Nonetheless, the protocols that are currently in place do not specifically address the special qualities and difficulties that autonomous weapons bring.

The current treaty law frameworks, while pertinent in the context of armed conflicts, fall short of fully addressing the complexities and implications surrounding autonomous weapons. In order to effectively control the development, deployment, and use of these weapons, current treaty provisions must be reevaluated and adjusted in light of the rapidly advancing technology and growing autonomy of these weapons.

Given these constraints, a critical analysis of treaty law is required to determine whether it adequately addresses the moral and legal issues brought up by autonomous weapons. The international community must hold substantive dialogues and deliberations to guarantee that treaty law frameworks are updated and strengthened to keep up with the swift progress of weapon technologies. This would make it possible to develop strong and precise regulations that control the creation, application, and use of autonomous weapons in conformity with the fundamentals of international humanitarian law.
14. Article 36 of Additional Protocol I

The legal assessment of new weapons heavily relies on Article 36 of Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions (ICRC, 2006). This clause emphasizes that states must determine whether newly developed weapons comply with their legal obligations under international law. It highlights the necessity for states to carry out exhaustive and in-depth legal reviews before the procurement, creation, or use of any new weapon system, including autonomous weapons.

14.1. Legal Review Requirement

States are legally required, as stipulated in Article 36, to thoroughly investigate new weapons to ascertain whether or not they comply with international law, including international humanitarian law. This review includes an assessment of the weapon's features, possible consequences, and intended use (ICRC, 2006).

15. Compatibility with International Law

The primary objective of the legal review under Article 36 is to determine whether the use of these weapons would comply with a state's obligations under international law. Ensuring that the weapon is used by the fundamental principles of international humanitarian law—distinction, proportionality, and military necessity—is a part of this.

Article 36 requires states to conduct legal reviews of new weapons to promote transparency, accountability, and responsible behavior in the development and use of weapons. It can serve as a framework for states deciding whether to adopt and use new weapon systems, like autonomous weapons, after considering their potential humanitarian effects (ICRC, 2006).

It is important to keep in mind that while Article 36 establishes the need for legal reviews, it does not provide a specific procedure or set of guidelines for figuring out whether autonomous weapons abide by international law. In this context, there are particular challenges in interpreting and applying Article 36 because autonomous weapons can make decisions autonomously and may be subject to limited human control. Therefore, more clarification and guidance are needed to ensure that legal reviews of autonomous weapons appropriately address their special characteristics and comply with international legal obligations.

16. Challenges in Applying Article 36 to Autonomous Weapons

The rapid advancement of technology combined with the lack of a generally accepted definition and classification of autonomous weapons present several challenges to applying Article 36 to these weapons. Because of these challenges, interpreting and applying Article 36 to the question of whether autonomous weapons comply with international law becomes more challenging.

17. Rapid Technological Advancements

Autonomous weapons demonstrate how technology is constantly changing. The capabilities and characteristics of autonomous weapons have the potential to evolve quickly, making it challenging to track their development and assess whether they comply with international law. Krishnan (2016) asserts that it may be challenging for the legal review process under Article 36 to keep up with the quickly developing field of autonomous weapons and appropriately address their unique characteristics.
18. Lack of a Universally Accepted Definition and Classification

One of the largest challenges to applying Article 36 to autonomous weapons is the absence of a widely accepted definition and classification scheme. Given the wide range of autonomous systems, varying degrees of autonomy, and varying degrees of human control, it is difficult to define an autonomous weapon clearly and consistently. Without a commonly recognized definition, it is challenging to conduct standardized legal reviews and ensure consistent assessments of their compliance with international law (Krishnan, 2016).

19. Complex Decision-Making Processes

Autonomous weapons can decide for themselves and take action without direct human intervention. This complex decision-making process raises questions regarding accountability, responsibility, and adherence to international humanitarian law (Grace et al., 2018). The degree of human control and the possible dangers associated with autonomous decision-making must be considered when assessing whether or not these weapons are compliant with international law.

20. Ethical and Moral Considerations

The use of autonomous weapons raises ethical and moral questions, particularly when it comes to granting machines the capacity to make lethal decisions. Accountability problems, unanticipated consequences, and the loss of human judgment and empathy in combat all complicate the legal review process. The problem of figuring out the moral ramifications of autonomous weapons in the context of international law must be addressed by the legal evaluation.

21. Compliance with Customary International Law

Customary international law is a body of law that applies to states independent of their particular treaty obligations. It is derived from consistent state practice and opinio juris (Khan, 2018). Without explicit treaty provisions, this framework is essential for controlling warfare and imposing obligations on states.

21.1. Consistent State Practice

Customary international law is based on state practices that have remained constant over time. This practice encompasses actions, regulations, and behavior that are widely acknowledged as mandatory legal requirements. State practices about autonomous weapons, including declarations, policies, and military doctrines, will be considered when assessing adherence to customary international law.

21.2. Opinio Juris

The term “opinio juris” describes a state's belief or conviction that its actions are mandated by law, as opposed to just being customary. It expresses the knowledge that some behaviors have become legally required. To establish whether state actions are driven by a sense of legal duty and thereby aid in the development of customary international law, opinio juris is essential.

21.3. Application to Autonomous Weapons:

Autonomous weapons must be evaluated in light of established legal principles and obligations for their development and use to comply with customary international law. The need to prevent indiscriminate attacks, which necessitates the ability to discern between combatants and civilians, and the duty to reduce damage to civilians and civilian property during armed conflict are important tenets.
21.4. **Prohibition of Indiscriminate Attacks**

Autonomous weapons must be able to discriminate between military targets and people or objects that belong to civilians. The development and application of autonomous weaponry systems with sufficient defenses and preventative measures to reduce the possibility of indiscriminate attacks and collateral damage are required to adhere to customary international law.

22. **Obligation to Distinguish between Combatants and Civilians**

Parties to an armed conflict are required by customary international law to make a distinction between civilians and combatants. These crucial distinctions must be made by autonomous weapons to prevent illegal civilian targeting and reduce damage to non-combatants.

Determining whether state practice and opinio juris have sufficiently evolved to encompass the distinctive characteristics and challenges posed by autonomous weapons is the challenge in applying customary international law to these weapons. To make sure that these weapons comply with customary international law obligations, an ongoing analysis of state practice, developing norms, and changing opinio juris is necessary given the technological complexity and ethical issues surrounding autonomous weapons.

In summary, assessing compliance with customary international law requires a careful examination of state practice and opinio juris regarding autonomous weapons (Khan, 2018). The development and use of these weapons must align with established legal principles, such as the prohibition of indiscriminate attacks and the obligation to distinguish between combatants and civilians. As state practice evolves and opinio juris develops, it is essential to continue monitoring and evaluating the application of customary international law to autonomous weapons to ensure their adherence to fundamental legal obligations in armed conflict.

23. **Conclusion**

Drawing from an extensive examination of autonomous weapons and their potential impact on adherence to international humanitarian law, in addition to the changing nature of combat, the following suggestions can be put forth for policy and legal specialists:

First and foremost, precise legal definitions and classifications must be established. To promote effective regulation and control and to give states a shared understanding, this entails creating globally agreed-upon definitions and classifications of autonomous weapons. Second, the reinforcement of international legal frameworks is important. This can be accomplished by improving current legal frameworks to handle the particular difficulties presented by autonomous weapons, such as the Geneva Conventions and the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons. Ensuring the applicability and enforceability of principles of international humanitarian law (IHL) concerning autonomous weapons is crucial. These principles include distinction, proportionality, and precautions in attack.

And thirdly, there's a need for thorough legal reviews. According to Article 36 of Additional Protocol I, this means bolstering and expediting the legal review procedures for new weapons, including autonomous weapons. It is recommended that states carry out thorough evaluations to determine whether autonomous weapons comply with international legal duties, such as international humanitarian law and human rights legislation.

Furthermore, it is imperative to cultivate global collaboration and communication. Encourage the sharing of information, best practices, and lessons learned, this entails facilitating cooperation among states, international organizations, and specialists. Creating forums for the exchange of knowledge and firsthand
accounts about the creation, application, and handling of autonomous weapons can improve comprehension and encourage ethical behavior. The creation of moral standards and guidelines is a key recommendation as well. It is crucial to support the establishment of moral standards that address the moral implications of autonomous weapons, including those related to responsibility, openness, and human control. Furthermore, it is imperative to reinforce accountability mechanisms. This entails improving the systems in place to deal with issues brought about by the diminished or nonexistent human influence in autonomous weapon decision-making. It is essential to make sure that accountability and culpability for deeds and results—including autonomous weapon incidents—are appropriately assigned.

Promoting awareness and education is also essential. To do this, policymakers, military personnel, and the general public must be made more aware of the intricacies, dangers, and possible outcomes associated with autonomous weapons. It is imperative to allocate resources towards educational and training initiatives that furnish policymakers and legal specialists with the requisite expertise to adeptly handle the legal, ethical, and security aspects of autonomous weapons.

Finally, it is imperative to provide support for ongoing research and analysis. Fostering continued research on the effects of autonomous weapons, such as how they affect IHL compliance and how warfare is evolving, is one way to do this. It's also advised to support interdisciplinary research partnerships to investigate the moral, legal, and humanitarian implications of autonomous weapons. By putting these suggestions into practice, legislators and legal professionals can aid in the creation of comprehensive and successful laws and policies that strike a balance between the preservation of core humanitarian values and technological advancements. In the context of autonomous weapons, these initiatives can encourage responsible use, reduce risks, and guarantee adherence to international law.
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