https://doi.org/10.62585/pjcj.v4i1.93

Volume and Issues Obtainable at Centeriir.org
Pakistan Journal of Criminal Justice
ISSN: 2958-9363 ISSN (E): 2958-9371
Volume 4, No.1, 2024

Journal Homepage: https://journals.centeriir.org/index.php/pjcl

Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing through Virtual Currencies: Critical Analysis of International and Pakistan's Response

Khalil Haider[1] **Dr. Naureen Akhtar**[2]

[1] PhD Scholar, Lecturer, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Rahim Yar Khan Campus E-mail: khalil.haider@iub.edu.pk

[2] Assistant Professor, University Gillani Law College, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan E-mail: naureen.akhtar@bzu.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

Money laundering and terrorism financing are major global threats that compromise the integrity of financial systems and pose severe risks to both national and international security. These illicit activities not only undermine economic stability but also facilitate criminal enterprises and terrorist organizations, leading to broader socio-political repercussions. This research paper explores the nexus between virtual currencies and the challenges posed by money laundering and terrorism financing, with a particular focus on Pakistan's legal and regulatory framework. The paper provides a comprehensive overview of the concepts of money laundering and terrorism financing. The paper offers well-founded recommendations for establishing a more robust and comprehensive legal framework that can effectively address these challenges. These recommendations include enhancing administrative capacity, promoting international cooperation, and fostering public awareness about the risks and benefits of virtual currencies. By adopting these measures, Pakistan can better manage the risks associated with virtual currencies, ensuring they can be used safely and effectively to foster innovation and growth in the digital economy.

Article History: Received: 01-06- 2024: **Accepted**: 29-06-2024: **Published**: 30-06-2024
Keywords: Money Laundering, Terrorism Financing, Virtual Currencies, Cryptocurrency, Financial Action Task Force, Blockchain

Corresponding Author's Email: **naureen.akhtar@bzu.edu.pk**

https://doi.org/10.62585/pjcj.v4i1.93

1. Introduction

Money laundering (ML) and terrorist financing (TF) are major worldwide menaces that erode the trustworthiness of financial institutions and present grave dangers to both national and international security (International Monetary Fund, 2019). Money laundering is hiding the source of unlawfully acquired funds, usually via transactions involving foreign banks or legitimate enterprises. Terrorism funding is the act of providing finances or financial assistance to people or organizations involved in terrorist actions. Both machine learning (ML) and TensorFlow (TF) are intricate and diverse matters that need strong legal, regulatory, and enforcement procedures to address (Zavoli & King, 2021).

In recent years, the advent of virtual currencies has introduced new challenges in the fight against ML and TF (Ibrahim, 2019). Virtual currencies, such as Bitcoin, Ethereum, and other cryptocurrencies, offer anonymity, rapid fund transfer, and a decentralized structure, making them attractive tools for illicit activities. The pseudonymous nature of virtual currencies complicates the tracking and identification of transactions, posing significant hurdles for law enforcement agencies worldwide (Gruber, 2013).

This paper explores the intersection of virtual currencies with money laundering and terrorism financing. It examines the mechanisms by which virtual currencies are exploited for illicit purposes and analyze the international response to this growing threat. Furthermore, the paper explores the specific legal, administrative, and judicial responses of Pakistan to the challenges posed by virtual currencies in the context of ML and TF. By providing a comprehensive analysis of the global and Pakistani measures, this paper seeks to identify gaps in the current frameworks and offer recommendations for strengthening the fight against financial crimes involving virtual currencies.

2. Money Laundering

Money laundering is the process of making money generated by a criminal activity, such as drug trafficking or terrorist funding, appear to be earned legitimately. It is a critical component of organized crime and has significant implications for financial systems and institutions. The money laundering process typically involves three stages (Levi, 2002).

Placement is the process of introducing illicit funds into the financial system. This can be done through methods such as structuring (breaking up large amounts of money into smaller, less suspicious amounts), smuggling, or making large cash purchases.

Layering: This stage involves moving the money around to create confusion and complicate the audit trail. This can be achieved through wire transfers between various accounts, purchasing high-value items (e.g., artwork, real estate), and other methods to obscure the origins of the funds.

Integration: Finally, the laundered money is reintroduced into the economy as legitimate funds. This can be done through investment in legal businesses, real estate, or other financial instruments (Levi, 2002).

3. Terrorism Financing

Terrorism financing (TF) involves the provision or collection of funds with the intention that they will be used to carry out terrorist acts. Unlike money laundering, which deals with concealing the origins of illegally obtained money, terrorism financing can involve both legal and illegal sources of funds. The primary objective is to ensure that the funds are available to support terrorist operations, whether directly or indirectly (Freeman & Ruehsen, 2013).

Common methods and channels used in terrorism financing include fundraising through legal sources, where funds may be raised through donations from sympathetic individuals or organizations, often under the guise of charitable contributions (Rose, 2018). Additionally, funds can be generated through criminal activities such as drug trafficking, smuggling, kidnapping for ransom, and other illicit means (Norton & Chadderton, 2016).

Terrorists may exploit banking systems, money transfer services, and other financial institutions to move and disguise the origin of funds. They may also engage in trade-based money laundering, involving the manipulation of trade transactions to transfer value and obscure the true purpose of the funds, such as over-invoicing and under-invoicing of goods (Clunan, 2006).
Moreover, terrorists are increasingly utilizing new technologies, including digital currencies, online payment systems, and crowdfunding platforms, to raise and transfer funds. These technologies provide anonymity and ease of use, making them attractive channels for terrorism financing activities (Clunan, 2006).

4. Virtual Currencies

As defined by Spithoven (2019), "Virtual currencies are digital representations of value that can be digitally traded or transferred and can be used for payment or investment purposes. Unlike fiat currencies issued by central banks, virtual currencies are typically decentralized and operate on a technology known as blockchain, which ensures secure and transparent transactions."

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) defines virtual money as:
"Virtual currency is a digital representation5 of value that can be digitally traded and functions as (1) a medium of exchange; and/or (2) a unit of account; and/or (3) a store of value, but does not have legal tender status (i.e., when tendered to a creditor, is a valid and legal offer of payment)6 in any jurisdiction" (Financial Action Task Force, 2014).
Virtual currencies can be broadly categorized based on their underlying technology and the way they are managed and operated. The main categories include:
Centralized Virtual Currencies: These are digital currencies issued and regulated by a central authority. Examples include digital currencies issued by companies or organizations, such as Facebook's proposed Libra (now Diem). Centralized virtual currencies typically have a single entity responsible for maintaining the ledger and verifying transactions (Azouvi et al., 2018).

Decentralized Virtual Currencies (Cryptocurrencies): Cryptocurrencies, sometimes referred to as decentralized virtual currencies, are devoid of control from any central body. Instead, they function on a distributed network of computers using blockchain technology. Cryptocurrencies use cryptographic methods to ensure the security of transactions and regulate the generation of new units. Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Litecoin are well-recognized exemplars (Gazali et al., 2018).

Cryptocurrencies operate on a decentralized network, meaning transactions are validated through consensus mechanisms like proof-of-work or proof-of-stake rather than by a central authority. This decentralization is a defining feature of cryptocurrencies, distinguishing them from traditional currencies and centralized digital currencies (Hughes & Middlebrook, 2015). A key aspect of cryptocurrencies is their reliance on blockchain technology. The blockchain is a decentralized system that maintains a permanent and unchangeable record of all transactions made on a network of computers. This technology guarantees transparency and security by making it very difficult to modify transaction data once it has been recorded (Azouvi et al., 2018).

Additionally, while blockchain transactions are transparent, the identities of the participants remain pseudonymous. Users are identified by their digital wallet addresses instead of their real-world identities, providing a certain level of anonymity. This pseudonymity allows for privacy in transactions, though it also raises concerns regarding the potential misuse of cryptocurrencies for illicit activities (Walch, 2015). Cryptocurrencies offer several notable advantages that make them appealing for various uses. One of the primary benefits is efficiency and speed. Cryptocurrency transactions can be completed quickly, often within minutes, and typically come with relatively low fees compared to those imposed by traditional banking systems. This rapid transaction process makes cryptocurrencies an attractive option for both domestic and international transfers (Abramowicz, 2016).

Another notable benefit is the ease of access. Cryptocurrencies provide financial services to those who lack access to conventional banking institutions. This inclusiveness enables those residing in underserved or rural regions to engage in the worldwide economy, so promoting financial inclusion and stimulating economic growth. Cryptocurrencies provide a significant advantage in terms of security (Abramova & Böhme, 2016). The cryptographic nature of these digital currencies ensures a high level of security against fraud and hacking. Each transaction is secured by complex algorithms and recorded on the blockchain, making it extremely difficult for malicious actors to alter transaction data or steal funds. This robust security framework enhances trust and reliability in the use of cryptocurrencies (Afzal & Asif, 2019). Although cryptocurrencies provide some benefits, they also entail certain hazards. Volatility is a significant risk. Cryptocurrencies are renowned for their price volatility, exhibiting values that may fluctuate dramatically within short timeframes. The high level of volatility is a substantial danger for investors and users, since the worth of their assets might fluctuate significantly within a few period, potentially resulting in financial losses (Walch, 2015).

Another significant challenge is regulatory in nature. The decentralized and global nature of cryptocurrencies creates substantial regulatory hurdles for governments and financial authorities. Different countries have varying approaches to regulating cryptocurrencies, leading to a fragmented regulatory landscape (Taskinsoy, 2021). This lack of uniformity can create uncertainty for users and businesses operating in the cryptocurrency space, as they must navigate a complex web of regulations. The potential for illicit use is another serious risk associated with cryptocurrencies. The anonymity and ease of conducting cross-border transactions make cryptocurrencies attractive for illicit activities, including money laundering and terrorism financing. The pseudonymous nature of cryptocurrency transactions can obscure the identities of those involved, complicating efforts by authorities to track and prevent illegal activities (Vankwani, 2021). This potential for misuse underscores the need for effective regulatory measures and international cooperation to address the risks associated with cryptocurrencies.

5. International Response to Virtual Currencies

This segment is divided into two parts to explore the international response to virtual currencies. In the first part, the researcher will explore the response of the Financial Action Task Force and the International Monetary Fund. In the next segment, the responses of different countries will be analyzed.

5.1 The Financial Action Task Force

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) is an international governmental body created with the purpose of formulating rules designed to fight the illicit activities of money laundering and terrorist funding. FATF has established several procedures to properly handle the potential risks of virtual currency.
In 2019, FATF issued guidance on how its recommendations apply to virtual assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers (VASPs) (Financial Action Task Force, 2019). This guidance highlights the importance of regulating and supervising VASPs to ensure they adhere to Anti-Money Laundering and Counter Financing of Terrorism (AML/CFT) requirements. By enforcing these regulations, FATF aims to mitigate the risks associated with the use of virtual currencies for illicit activities.

Additionally, FATF extended its "Travel Rule" to encompass virtual currencies. This rule requires VASPs to share originator and beneficiary information with each other during transactions (Financial Action Task Force, 2023). By mandating the exchange of this information, FATF seeks to enhance transparency and traceability in virtual currency transactions, thereby reducing the likelihood of their use in money laundering and terrorism financing. These initiatives by FATF demonstrate a proactive approach to managing the risks posed by virtual currencies while promoting their safe and legitimate use.

5.2 International Monetary Fund

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has been actively monitoring developments in the virtual currency space and providing policy advice to its member countries. The IMF's approach aims to strike a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring effective regulation. One of the IMF's key activities is surveillance and research (International Monetary Fund, 2023). The organization conducts thorough surveillance on the economic implications of virtual currencies, examining their potential impacts on financial stability, monetary policy, and financial integrity. Through its research, the IMF seeks to understand how virtual currencies might influence various aspects of the global economy and to identify any emerging risks. In addition to surveillance and research, the IMF provides policy advice to member countries.

The IMF recommends that countries adopt regulatory frameworks that address the risks associated with virtual currencies while still encouraging innovation (International Monetary Fund, 2023).

The IMF's recent policy paper, 2023 focuses on how governments can create rules to make sure they're used safely and fairly. By studying how digital currencies affect things like monetary policy and the stability of financial systems, the paper shows why it's important for policymakers to act now. It suggests ways to strike a balance between encouraging innovation and making sure people's money stays safe (International Monetary Fund, 2023). With clear guidelines and international cooperation, countries can make the most of digital currencies while protecting against risks like fraud and money laundering. The organization emphasizes the importance of international cooperation and information sharing to create a cohesive and effective regulatory environment for virtual currencies. By advocating for these measures, the IMF aims to help countries navigate the complex landscape of virtual currencies, ensuring that their benefits can be realized without compromising financial stability and security.

5.3 El Salvador

In 2021, El Salvador made global headlines by pioneering the adoption of Bitcoin as a legal tender, marking a significant milestone in the cryptocurrency's mainstream acceptance. The government's approach to integrating Bitcoin into its economy is characterized by several key features. Firstly, Bitcoin is recognized as legal tender alongside the US dollar, effectively granting it the same status and acceptance for transactions within the country. Businesses are mandated to accept Bitcoin as payment for goods and services, ensuring widespread adoption and usability throughout the economy (Beyer, 2024).

To facilitate the use of Bitcoin for its citizens, the government introduced a digital wallet named "Chivo." This wallet serves as a platform for citizens to store and transact Bitcoin securely, streamlining the process of engaging with the digital currency. In addition to promoting adoption through regulatory means, the government of El Salvador offers various incentives to encourage the use of Bitcoin. For instance, tax exemptions on capital gains from Bitcoin transactions are provided, incentivizing individuals and businesses to engage with the cryptocurrency (Schwartz, 2023). These incentives aim to stimulate economic activity and innovation within the cryptocurrency ecosystem while positioning El Salvador as a trailblazer in the global adoption of digital currencies.

5.4 United States

The United States has adopted a multifaceted approach to regulating virtual currencies, involving several regulatory bodies to oversee different aspects of the cryptocurrency ecosystem. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) plays a crucial role in regulating cryptocurrencies that are classified as securities. The SEC focuses on investor protection and market integrity, ensuring that issuers of cryptocurrency securities comply with relevant securities laws and regulations. This oversight helps safeguard investors from fraudulent or misleading practices within the cryptocurrency market (Ratna et al., 2019).

Meanwhile, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) is responsible for overseeing the trading of cryptocurrency derivatives. The CFTC classifies certain cryptocurrencies, such as Bitcoin and Ethereum, as commodities, subjecting their derivatives to regulatory oversight. By regulating cryptocurrency derivatives, the CFTC aims to promote market transparency and integrity while mitigating risks associated with derivative trading (Krishnan, 2020).

Additionally, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) imposes regulations on cryptocurrency exchanges to combat money laundering and terrorist financing. FinCEN requires cryptocurrency exchanges to comply with anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing (AML/CFT) regulations and register as money services businesses (MSBs) (Ratna et al., 2019). This regulatory framework enhances the transparency and accountability of cryptocurrency transactions, making it more difficult for criminals to exploit virtual currencies for illicit purposes. Overall, the United States' regulatory approach to virtual currencies reflects a commitment to balancing innovation with investor protection and financial integrity. By leveraging the expertise of multiple regulatory bodies, the U.S. aims to create a comprehensive regulatory framework that fosters innovation while safeguarding against potential risks and abuses within the cryptocurrency market.

5.5 India

India's position on virtual currencies has been marked by a lack of clear regulations and a changing legislative environment. In 2018, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) enforced a prohibition on cryptocurrency transactions in the banking sector because to apprehensions over safeguarding consumer interests, preventing money laundering, and maintaining financial stability. Nevertheless, the Supreme Court of India reversed this prohibition in 2020, signifying a noteworthy advancement in the legal standing of cryptocurrencies within the nation (BFSI, 2022).

Since then, India has been struggling to regulate virtual currencies adequately. The government has reviewed a proposed law that suggests prohibiting privately owned cryptocurrencies while also examining the feasibility of implementing a central bank digital currency (CBDC). India's attitude towards virtual currencies is cautious, as it seeks to combine the promotion of innovation with the need to address hazards related to uncontrolled digital assets.

Furthermore, the Indian government is actively working on creating a regulatory framework to govern the use and trading of virtual currencies. This framework aims to provide clarity and legal certainty for businesses and investors operating in the cryptocurrency space while ensuring compliance with anti-money laundering and consumer protection regulations. (PYMNTS, 2024).

Overall, India's approach to virtual currencies is still evolving, with policymakers grappling with complex regulatory challenges and balancing competing interests. The country's efforts to create a regulatory framework that fosters innovation while addressing risks underscore the importance of effective governance in the rapidly evolving landscape of digital finance.

5.6 China
China has adopted a stringent approach towards virtual currencies, prioritizing control and regulation over the digital asset ecosystem. A notable aspect of China's approach is the ban on cryptocurrency transactions, including trading and initial coin offerings (ICOs). Additionally, the government has taken decisive actions to shut down domestic cryptocurrency exchanges, effectively limiting the avenues for cryptocurrency trading within the country (Kshetri, 2020). This regulatory stance reflects China's concerns over investor protection, financial stability, and the potential misuse of cryptocurrencies for illicit activities.

Furthermore, China has cracked down on cryptocurrency mining operations, citing concerns over excessive energy consumption and its impact on environmental sustainability. The government's efforts to curb cryptocurrency mining activities underscore its commitment to addressing both economic and environmental risks associated with the cryptocurrency industry.
In contrast to its strict regulatory measures on decentralized cryptocurrencies, China is actively developing its own central bank digital currency (CBDC), known as the Digital Yuan. By introducing a government-backed digital currency, China aims to maintain control over digital transactions and financial systems while leveraging the benefits of blockchain technology. The Digital Yuan also serves as a strategic move to enhance China's influence in the global financial landscape and reduce reliance on traditional payment systems (Groden et al., 2018).

Overall, China's approach towards virtual currencies reflects a commitment to assert control and regulation over the digital asset ecosystem, while simultaneously exploring opportunities for innovation and technological advancement through the development of its own digital currency.

6. Pakistan's Response to Virtual Currencies

The response of Pakistan to virtual currencies and their associated threats, particularly their potential use in money laundering and terrorism financing, can be better understood by examining the issue through three distinct lenses: legislative, administrative, and judicial responses. Each of these components plays a crucial role in forming a comprehensive strategy to combat these illicit activities and ensuring the integrity of the financial system.

6.1 Legislative Response
Despite the growing global interest in cryptocurrencies, Pakistan's federal Parliament has not enacted significant laws to address the complexities and risks associated with virtual currencies. This lack of legislative action has left a regulatory void in the country's approach to digital assets (Asad, 2022). The most notable legislative initiative in Pakistan occurred at the provincial level in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK). In 2020, the KPK Assembly passed two resolutions aimed at advancing the regulation and utilization of cryptocurrencies within the province. The resolutions called for the recognition and regulation of cryptocurrencies, signaling a progressive stance towards digital assets. This initiative aimed to create a regulatory framework to govern the use and trading of cryptocurrencies, providing clarity and legal certainty for businesses and investors.

Additionally, the KPK Assembly proposed the establishment of a government-backed cryptocurrency mining setup. This move aimed to position the province to benefit from the opportunities presented by blockchain technology, particularly in the field of cryptocurrency mining. However, despite the passage of these resolutions at the provincial level, there has been a lack of concrete legislative action at the national level (KPK Information Technology Board, 2021). The failure to follow through on these initiatives highlights broader issues of legislative inertia and the absence of a coordinated national strategy on virtual currencies in Pakistan.

6.2 Administrative Measures

The administrative response to virtual currencies in Pakistan has been more active, primarily led by the State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) and the Federal Investigation Agency (FIA) (Singh, 2022).

6.2.1 State Bank of Pakistan (SBP):

In April 2018, the SBP issued a circular prohibiting all financial institutions from engaging in activities related to virtual currencies and tokens. This ban encompasses the processing of transactions involving virtual currencies, maintaining accounts, and facilitating their trade. The SBP's stance on virtual currencies is driven by concerns over fraud, market volatility, and the potential misuse of cryptocurrencies for money laundering and terrorism financing (State Bank of Pakistan, 2018).

Furthermore, the SBP has undertaken public awareness campaigns to educate the public about the risks associated with investing in virtual currencies. Through various public notices and advisories, the SBP has highlighted issues such as the lack of consumer protection, price volatility, and the legal ambiguities surrounding virtual currencies.

6.2.2 Federal Investigation Agency (FIA):

The FIA has played a crucial role in enforcing the SBP's directives regarding virtual currencies. It has conducted numerous raids and investigations into illegal activities involving virtual currencies. Notable efforts by the FIA include crackdowns on unregistered cryptocurrency exchanges operating in Pakistan, which often facilitate scams and fraud (Customs Today, 2022).

Additionally, the FIA has taken action against Ponzi schemes and fraudulent investment platforms promising high returns through cryptocurrency investments. Despite these efforts, the FIA faces significant challenges due to the pseudonymous nature of cryptocurrency transactions, which complicates the tracking and prosecution of offenders.

6.3 Judicial Response

The judiciary's engagement with virtual currencies in Pakistan has been limited (Haider, 2022). but includes a noteworthy case CP. no. 7146/2019 titled Waqar Zaka vs. Federation of Pakistan and Others. Waqar Zaka, a prominent media personality and cryptocurrency advocate, filed a petition in the Sindh High Court challenging the State Bank of Pakistan's (SBP) ban on virtual currencies. Zaka argued that the ban was unconstitutional and hindered technological progress and financial inclusion.

The case garnered significant attention and highlighted the regulatory gaps surrounding virtual currencies in Pakistan (Tanoli, 2022). It underscored the need for a more nuanced approach to regulating digital assets. Despite the potential for setting a judicial precedent, the case faced delays and procedural hurdles. Ultimately, the case was dismissed due to non-prosecution. The dismissal led to the closure of the case without any substantive judicial ruling. As a result, the regulatory status quo regarding virtual currencies remained unchanged, with the ban imposed by the SBP remaining in place.

7. Challenges and Gaps

The regulatory landscape surrounding virtual currencies in Pakistan faces several challenges and gaps, which hinder the development of a formal crypto-economy. The absence of comprehensive legislation on virtual currencies creates uncertainty and ambiguity regarding their legal status and regulation, making it challenging for businesses and investors to navigate the legal framework surrounding virtual currencies (Ali, 2022). This regulatory vacuum hampers the growth of the crypto-economy in Pakistan.

Additionally, the decentralized and pseudonymous nature of cryptocurrencies poses significant challenges for enforcement agencies like the Federal Investigation Agency (FIA). Tracking and regulating illicit activities conducted using virtual currencies becomes inherently difficult due to the lack of centralized control and the anonymity afforded to users (Parshar, 2022). As a result, enforcing regulations and combating illegal activities in the crypto-space becomes a daunting task for law enforcement agencies. Moreover, there is a critical need for enhanced public awareness and education about both the opportunities and risks associated with virtual currencies. Misconceptions and lack of knowledge about the crypto-economy can lead to uninformed decisions and increased vulnerability to scams and fraudulent schemes.

8. Conclusion and Recommendations

The discussion on virtual currencies and Pakistan's response underscores the complex challenges and opportunities presented by digital assets. Despite the global proliferation of cryptocurrencies, Pakistan has yet to establish a coherent legal and regulatory framework to address the risks and harness the potential benefits of virtual currencies. The legislative response has been fragmented, with limited action at the national level and sporadic initiatives at the provincial level. Administrative measures, led by the State Bank of Pakistan and the Federal Investigation Agency, have focused on risk mitigation and enforcement but face significant hurdles in regulating the decentralized nature of virtual currencies. The judicial response has been nascent, with few cases addressing the regulatory ambiguity surrounding virtual currencies.

Establishing a Comprehensive Legal and Regulatory Framework is crucial to provide clarity on the legal status and oversight mechanisms of virtual currencies, balancing innovation with consumer protection. The first step in regulating virtual currencies is to provide clear legal definitions and recognition. The law should clearly define what constitutes virtual currencies, distinguishing them from traditional currencies and other financial instruments. This includes categorizing different types of virtual currencies (e.g., cryptocurrencies, stablecoins) and related activities (e.g., mining, trading, and initial coin offerings). By establishing precise definitions, the framework can ensure that all stakeholders have a common understanding, reducing ambiguity and legal uncertainties.

A robust regulatory framework should establish a dedicated regulatory body or assign an existing one with the responsibility to oversee all activities related to virtual currencies. This body should be empowered to license and monitor entities involved in virtual currency transactions, including exchanges, wallet providers, and payment processors. Regulations should mandate these entities to comply with stringent Know Your Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) requirements. This will involve thorough customer verification processes, continuous monitoring of transactions, and reporting suspicious activities to the Financial Monitoring Unit (FMU) of Pakistan.

The legal framework should enforce strong consumer protection and security measures to build trust in the virtual currency market and protect consumers. This includes requiring virtual currency service providers to implement robust cybersecurity protocols to protect against hacks and fraud. Additionally, clear guidelines should be established for resolving disputes and handling consumer complaints. The law should also mandate transparency in the operations of virtual currency businesses, ensuring that users are well-informed about the risks associated with virtual currencies and the terms and conditions of their use. Effective monitoring and enforcement of regulations related to virtual currencies require Strengthening Administrative Capacity and International Cooperation, while promoting collaboration with international partners to combat cross-border illicit activities. This necessitates investing in training and resources for regulatory agencies to enhance their capacity to detect and investigate instances of fraud, money laundering, and other illicit activities involving virtual currencies. Additionally, fostering closer cooperation with international counterparts will enable Pakistan to leverage shared expertise and intelligence to address emerging threats and challenges in the global crypto space.

Promoting Judicial Interpretation and Legal Precedents is essential to provide guidance on issues such as investor protection and liability in virtual currency transactions, fostering clarity and consistency in legal interpretation. Courts play a crucial role in shaping the legal landscape surrounding virtual currencies by interpreting existing laws and establishing precedents through landmark rulings. By actively engaging with legal experts and stakeholders, the judiciary can develop a robust framework for adjudicating disputes and resolving legal ambiguities related to virtual currencies, thereby instilling confidence and certainty in the legal environment.

To empower citizens to make informed decisions about virtual currencies, launching public awareness and education campaigns is imperative, recognizing and avoiding scams and fraudulent schemes. Many individuals may lack a comprehensive understanding of the risks and benefits associated with virtual currencies, making them susceptible to exploitation by fraudulent actors. Through targeted awareness campaigns, Pakistan can educate the public about the potential pitfalls of investing in virtual currencies without proper due diligence, while also highlighting the transformative potential of blockchain technology and digital assets in driving financial inclusion and innovation.
Fostering an enabling environment for blockchain technology and exploring its potential applications beyond cryptocurrencies is essential to Encourage Innovation and Fintech Development. Pakistan has a unique opportunity to harness the power of blockchain technology to address pressing societal challenges, such as improving transparency in governance, enhancing the efficiency of supply chains, and expanding access to financial services in underserved communities. By supporting research and development initiatives and incentivizing innovation in the fintech sector, Pakistan can position itself as a regional leader in leveraging technology for social and economic development.

Collaboration between government agencies, industry associations, and market participants is essential to Engage Stakeholders and Industry Players in developing industry best practices and self-regulatory standards. This collaboration facilitates dialogue between regulators and industry players to address concerns and promote responsible innovation. By working together to establish voluntary guidelines and standards, stakeholders can demonstrate their commitment to upholding high standards of integrity and accountability while also promoting innovation and entrepreneurship in the rapidly evolving crypto space.

Staying informed about international best practices and adapting regulations accordingly is crucial to Monitoring Global Developments and Adapting the Regulatory Framework. The crypto-economy is dynamic and constantly evolving, with new technologies and business models emerging at a rapid pace. By maintaining a proactive approach to regulatory oversight and embracing regulatory innovation, Pakistan can position itself as a forward-thinking and adaptable jurisdiction that attracts investment and fosters innovation in the virtual currency sector.

Funding
This article was not supported by any funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest/ Disclosures
The authors have disclosed that there are no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

Abramova, S., & Böhme, R. (2016). *Perceived benefit and risk as multidimensional determinants of bitcoin use: A quantitative exploratory study*. Thirty Seventh International Conference on Information Systems, Dublin. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://informationsecurity.uibk.ac.at/pdfs/Abramova2016_Bitcoin_ICIS.pdf

Abramowicz, M. (2016). Cryptocurrency-based law. *Arizona Law Review*, 58(2). Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://arizonalawreview.org/pdf/58-2/58arizlrev359.pdf

Afzal, A., & Asif, A. (2019). Cryptocurrencies, blockchain and regulation: a review. *The Lahore Journal of Economics*, 24(1), 103-130.

Ahmed, N. (2022, January). *The great potential of cryptocurrencies in Pakistan.* Geo News. Retrieved May 24, 2024, from https://www.geo.tv/latest/392581-the-great-potential-of-cryptocurrencies-in-pakistan

Ali, K. (2022). *Crypto trading in Pakistan best with lack of awareness, capacity issues*. Dawn. Retrieved May 24, 2024, from https://www.dawn.com/news/1690217

Asad, A. (2022, March). *Unregulated and forbidden crypto still thrives in Pakistan*. Tribune. Retrieved May 24, 2024, from https://tribune.com.pk/story/2349633/unregulated-and-forbidden-crypto-still-thrives-in-pakistan

Azouvi, S., Maller, M., & Meiklejohn, S. (2018, February). *Egalitarian society or benevolent dictatorship: The state of cryptocurrency governance*. International conference on financial cryptography and data security Springer, Berlin.

Beyer, R. (2024, January). *El Salvador Adopted Bitcoin as an Official Currency; Salvadorans Mostly Shrugged.* Yale Insights. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://insights.som.yale.edu/insights/el-salvador-adopted-bitcoin-as-an-official-currency-salvadorans-mostly-shrugged#:~:text=El%20Salvador%20took%20a%20different,Bitcoin%20as%20a%20legal%20tender. .

BFSI. (2022). *Why is RBI so vehemently opposed to cryptocurrencies?* Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://bfsi.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/policy/why-is-rbi-so-vehemently-opposed-to-cryptocurrencies/89594369

Clunan, A. L. (2006). The Fight against Terrorist Financing. *Political Science Quarterly*, 121(4), 569–596. Retrieved May 18, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/20202763

Financial Action Task Force. (2014, June). *Virtual Currencies Key Definitions and Potential AML/CFT Risks.* Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.fatf-gafi.org/content/dam/fatf-gafi/reports/Virtual-currency-key-definitions-and-potential-aml-cft-risks.pdf.coredownload.pdf

Financial Action Task Force. (2019). *Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach for Trust and Company Service Providers*. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.fatf-gafi.org/en/publications/Fatfrecommendations/Rba-trust-company-service-providers.html

Financial Action Task Force. (2023). *Virtual Assets: Targeted Update on Implementation of the FATF Standards on Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers*. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.fatf-gafi.org/en/publications/Fatfrecommendations/targeted-update-virtual-assets-vasps-2023.html

Freeman, M., & Ruehsen, M. (2013). Terrorism Financing Methods: An Overview. *Perspectives on Terrorism*, 7(4), 5–26. Retrieved May 15, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/26296981

Gardezi, A. (2021). *Here's How a Mainstream Influencer Helped Pakistan Lead the Crypto Game*. ProPakistani. Retrieved May 10, 2024, from https://propakistani.pk/2021/10/04/heres-how-a-mainstream-influencer-helped-pakistan-lead-the-crypto-game/

Gazali, H. M., Ismail, C. M. H. B. C., & Amboala, T. (2018, July). *Exploring the intention to invest in cryptocurrency: The case of bitcoin*. International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for the Muslim World. 64-68.

Groden, C., Saravalle, E., & Solomon-Strauss, J. (2018). Uncharted Waters: A Primer on Virtual Currency Regulation Around the World. *Center for a New American Security*. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep20458

Gruber, S. (2013). Trust, identity and disclosure: Are bitcoin exchanges the next virtual havens for money laundering and tax evasion? *Quinnipiac Law Review,* 135.

Haider, M. (2022, May). *Regulated crypto trade can prove a revenue goldmine for Pakistan*. International The News. Retrieved May 9, 2024, from https://www.thenews.com.pk/print/958582-regulated-crypto-trade-can-prove-a-revenue-goldmine-for-pakistan

Hughes, S. J., & Middlebrook, S. T. (2015). Advancing a framework for regulating cryptocurrency payments intermediaries. *Yale J. on Reg.,* 32.

Ibrahim, S. A. (2019). Regulating cryptocurrencies to combat Terrorism-Financing and money laundering. *Stratagem*, 2(1).

International Monetary Fund. (2019, February). *Review of the Fund's Strategy on Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism.* Retrieved May 8, 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/Policy-Papers/Issues/2019/02/04/pp101718-2018-review-of-the-funds-aml-strategy

International Monetary Fund. (2023). *Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) Virtual Handbook,* Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/fintech/central-bank-digital-currency/virtual-handbook

International Monetary Fund. (2023, February). Elements of Effective Policies for Crypto Assets. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/Policy-Papers/Issues/2023/02/23/Elements-of-Effective-Policies-for-Crypto-Assets-530092#:~:text=The%20paper%20presents%20a%20policy,that%20these%20objectives%20are%20met.

KPK Information Technology Board. (2021). *First KP Crypto Advisory Committee Meeting held under the Chairmanship of Zia Ullah Bangash at KPITB Peshawar*. Retrieved May 12, 2024, from https://www.kpitb.gov.pk/happenings/first-kp-crypto-advisory-committee-meeting-held-under-chairmanship-zia-ullah-bangash

Krishnan, A. (2020). Blockchain Empowers Social Resistance and Terrorism Through Decentralized Autonomous Organizations. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 13(1), 41–58. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.jstor.org/stable/26907412

Kshetri, N. (2020). China's Emergence as the Global Fintech Capital and Implications for Southeast Asia. *Asia Policy*, 15(1), 61–82. Retrieved May 11, 2024, from https://www.jstor.org/stable/26891388

Levi, M. (2002). Money Laundering and Its Regulation. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 582, 181–194. Retrieved May 9, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/1049742

Norton, S., & Chadderton, P. (2016). Systems for Countering Terrorism Financing. In *Detect, disrupt and deny: Optimising Australia's counterterrorism financing system* (pp. 18–30). Australian Strategic Policy Institute. Retrieved May 16, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep04244.7

Parshar, R. (2022). *Crypto Sector Could Suffer in Pakistan as Its Central Bank Looks to Ban Cryptocurrencies: Report*. Gadgets 360. Retrieved May 02, 2024, from https://www.gadgets360.com/cryptocurrency/news/pakistan-crypto-ban-central-bank-suffer-sector-2706460

PYMNTS. (2024, May). *India Proposes CBDC That Can Function Offline*. Retrieved May 3, 2024, from https://www.pymnts.com/cbdc/2024/india-proposes-cbdc-that-can-function-offline/#:~:text=CBDC%20use%20in%20India%20has,digital%20rupee%20in%20contactless%20payments.

Ratna, T., Abisla, R., Jash, S., Kaushik, A. K., Mishra, S., Padmanabhan, A., Prakash, P., Simons, J., Srikumar, M., & Young, K. (2019). Blockchain Regulation in the United States: Evaluating the overall approach to virtual asset regulation. In *The Promise of Public Interest Technology: In India and the United States* (pp. 80–93). New America. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep19980.9

Rogoff, K. S. (2015). Costs and benefits to phasing out paper currency, *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 29(1), 445–456.

Rose, G. (2018). Terrorism Financing in Foreign Conflict Zones. *Counter Terrorist Trends and Analyses*, 10(2), 11–16. Retrieved May 4, 2024, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/26358996

Schwartz, B. (2023, December). *El Salvador became the first country to accept Bitcoin as legal tender: Now it's offering citizenship for a $1 million 'investment'.* Crypto Fortune. Retrieved May 19, 2024, from https://fortune.com/crypto/2023/12/08/el-salvador-bitcoin-tether-nayib-bukele-investment-citizenship/

Singh, A. (2022). *Pakistan's Investigation Agency Contacts Binance About $100M Scam*. CoinDesk. Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.coindesk.com/policy/2022/01/10/pakistans-investigationagency-contacts-binance-about-100m-scam/

Spithoven, A. (2019). Theory and reality of cryptocurrency governance. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 53(2), 385-393.

State Bank of Pakistan. (2018). *BPRD Circluar no. 3 of 2018.* Retrieved May 20, 2024, from https://www.sbp.org.pk/bprd/2018/C3.htm

Taskinsoy, J. (2021). Bitcoin: A New Digital Gold Standard in the 21st Century? *SSRN*. Retrieved May 17, 2024, from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3941857

Vankwani, R., K. (2021). *Cryptocurrency status*. International The News. Retrieved May 17, 2024, from https://www.thenews.com.pk/print/903972-cryptocurrency-status

Walch, A. (2015). The bitcoin blockchain as financial market infrastructure: A consideration of operational risk. *NYUJ Legis. & Pub. Pol'y*, 18.

Zavoli, I. and King, C. (2021). The Challenges of Implementing Anti-Money Laundering Regulation: An Empirical Analysis. *The Modern Law Review.* Retrieved May 17, 2024, from https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/171357/3/Challenges%20implementing%20anti-money%20laundering%20-%20Accepted.pdf