https://doi.org/10.62585/pjcj.v4i1.52



Volume and Issues Obtainable at Centeriir.org **Pakistan Journal of Criminal Justice**ISSN: 2958-9363 ISSN (E): 2958-9371

Volume 4, No.1, 2024

Journal Homepage:https://journals.centeriir.org/index.php/pjcl

Harmonizing Conflict: Exploring Global Applications of Alternative Dispute Resolution Methods

Muhammad Farhan Talib¹ Omer Mahmood Watto² Muhammad Islam³ Syed Arshad Hussain⁴

- Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Law, the Islamia University, Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan. (farhansayyed 017@outlook.com)
- ² Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Law, the Islamia University, Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan. (omerwattoo001@gmail.com).
- ³ Civil Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Lahore High Court, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan. (<u>hsislam786@gmail.com</u>) ORCID https://orcid.org/0000000329176870
- ⁴ Civil Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Lahore High Court, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan. (<u>syedirshadciviljudge@gmail.com</u> ORCID https://orcid.org/0009000216273313

ABSTRACT

This study examines the domain of conflict resolution through a comparison of conventional trial procedures and alternative dispute resolution (ADR) techniques. The author highlights the critical importance of trials in protecting interests and rights, while also recognizing inherent constraints such as protracted proceedings and financial strains. In light of the progressive development of democratic societies, there is a noticeable transition towards alternative methods of resolving disputes, which calls for a thorough assessment of their merits and demerits. The study focuses on mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and conciliation as primary ADR techniques, elucidating their applicability, advantages, and limitations across diverse scenarios and jurisdictions. It emphasizes the contextual dependency of ADR methods and their effectiveness in addressing commercial, familial, and international conflicts. The emergence of online dispute resolution (ODR) as a burgeoning field is also explored, highlighting its potential to enhance accessibility and efficiency in conflict resolution. The analysis concludes by promoting mediation as a fundamental aspect of modern dispute resolution frameworks, highlighting its focus on human interests and its ability to cultivate harmonious relationships. The ongoing development of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods, in conjunction with advancements in technology and cultural awareness, presents an opportunity to transform the field of conflict resolution by enhancing its adaptability and inclusiveness.



© 2024 The Authors. Published by <u>Center of Innovation in Interdisciplinary Research (CIIR)</u>. This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Common Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0

Article History: Received: February 05, 2024: Accepted: February 15, 2024: Published: March 4, 2024 **Keywords:** ADR - alternate dispute resolution techniques, mediation, negotiation, arbitration, conciliation,

Corresponding Author's Email: https://doi.org/10.1007/bj.ncm/

How To Cite: Muhammad Farhan Talib, Omer Mahmood Watto, Muhammad Islam, & Syed Arshad Hussain. (2024). Choosing Paths to Peace: An In-Depth Examination of ADR Methods and Their Global Application in Dispute Resolution. *Pakistan Journal of Criminal Justice*, 4(1), 77–90.



https://doi.org/10.62585/pjcj.v4i1.52

1. Introduction

The recognition of the fundamental significance of trials in protecting the rights and interests of individuals and entities reveals that although trials provide various benefits such as compliance with legal frameworks, transparent procedures, and legally binding rulings, they also possess significant limitations (Kingsbury, 2009). These factors encompass protracted legal proceedings, public examination, financial hardships, and sporadically, dissatisfaction with the competence or fairness of the judiciary. Moreover, the issue of aligning legal validity with perceived fairness continues to be a relevant concern, frequently favoring the party that possesses stronger evidential support.

In present-day democratic societies, characterized by the development of civic institutions, there is a prevailing inclination towards the promotion of alternative mechanisms for resolving disputes (Besaiso, Fenn & Emsley, 2016). An observable shift in paradigm is evident, as a substantial proportion of disputes, as demonstrated by 80% of cases in the EU, are resolved outside of conventional courtroom environments (Reich, 2018). The attractiveness of these alternative pathways resides in their adaptability and wide range of resources, providing a departure from inflexible legal standards while augmenting effectiveness.

Nevertheless, given the widespread adoption of alternative dispute resolution methods, it is crucial to undertake a comprehensive evaluation of their advantages and disadvantages. Equally imperative is the endeavor to develop strategies aimed at enhancing or alleviating inherent deficiencies through the implementation of innovative institutional frameworks (Nadeemullah, 2023). Hence, given the dynamic nature of legal dispute resolution, it becomes imperative to evaluate and enhance both conventional trial procedures and emerging alternative approaches, to cultivate a justice system that is fair and easily accessible.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) contains a variety of techniques aimed at settling disputes outside of ordinary court proceedings (Kruger, 2023). These approaches provide flexible, affordable, and expedient solutions for conflict resolution. The spike in global business activities, coupled with the overwhelmed legal systems in many areas, has elevated ADR to prominence as a viable resolution method (Cortés, 2023).

The basic purpose of the study is to make a deep comparative analysis of these ADR methods, by sorting out their characteristics, applicability, and outcomes in diverse scenarios and jurisdictions (Kruger, 2023). The goals of the analysis are to illuminate the distinct advantages and possible drawbacks of each approach (Cortés, 2023). This study would be very productive for legal professionals and individuals to make informed decisions about the most appropriate dispute resolution strategy in different situations and distinct jurisdictions. Mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and conciliation are the most universally utilized techniques due to their distinguished procedural frameworks, and cost-effectiveness (Mota et al., 2023).

These methods play a pivotal role in combating commercial conflicts, family disputes, and international conflicts (Condliffe, 2007).

Undoubtedly, each ADR method offers exceptional assistance. The effectiveness of each technique is very dependent upon the background of the dispute while taking the detailed requirements of the parties involved, and the particular characteristics of the dispute.

2. An Overview of ADR Methods

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is a process that serves as an alternative to conventional litigation for resolving disputes. ADR methods include a variety of practices, each designed to facilitate the resolution of conflicts in a more amenable, efficient, and often less formal setting than traditional court proceedings. For better understanding, Firstly I would like to give a basic concept of the main methods one by one briefly:-

2.1 Mediation

Mediation involves an impartial and unbiased third party, known as a mediator, who facilitates and helps facilitate a dialogue between disputing parties to help them reach a mutually acceptable agreement (Hryhoriev & Kryvoborodyi, 2023). The mediator does not make decisions for the parties but helps them communicate and explore possible solutions. Mediation is highly valued for its flexibility, secrecy, confidentiality, and ability to preserve relationships.

2.2 Arbitration

Arbitration resembles a judicial process in which an arbitrator or a panel makes a decision (award) on the dispute after collecting evidence and hearing the parties. The key difference from litigation is that the parties select their arbitrators and the rules under which the arbitration will be conducted. Arbitration is binding and generally final, offering a speedy and more specialized resolution than court litigation (Serhii et al., 2021).

2.3 Negotiation

The most informal ADR method, is where parties directly engage with each other to settle their dispute without the involvement of a third party, The outcomes are entirely controlled by and agreed upon by the parties involved (Schweinsberg et al., 2022). Negotiation is highly flexible and permits parties to control the process and outcome, making it more suitable for disputes where parties are willing to cooperate and find common ground.

2.4 Conciliation

Similar to mediation, the conciliator may play a more advisory role, suggesting possible solutions to help the parties settle their dispute (Bahta, 2019). The conciliator's involvement varies depending on the parties' inclinations and the specific guidelines governing the conciliation process. Conciliation is frequently used in international disputes and legal systems where it is a formal part of the dispute resolution method.

3. Historical contexts of ADR techniques

Mediation was a customary practice in ancient civilizations such as China, Egypt, and Greece, where communal elders, pharaohs, or philosophers like Socrates normally acted as mediators. The figures were selected for their wisdom and impartiality to lead conflicting groups towards a consensus. As values developed, the role of mediation was also established, especially in the East, where it continued to be preferred for its concentration on harmony. Primarily, formal legal systems were dominant in the West, but mediation experienced a revival in the 20th century, especially in the United States during the 1960s civil rights movement (Jamillah & Maswandi, 2023). This led to its incorporation and merger into the judicial system to reduce court backlogs and maintain connections.

The beginning of arbitration can be traced back to ancient Greece, where private individuals called "dikasts" settled differences independently of the formal court setting. In Rome, arbitration was working under the idea of "compromissum," in which parties agreed to follow an arbitrator's ruling. During the Middle Ages, merchant communities extended with the implementation of the Lex Mercatoria, a collection of trade laws that assimilated arbitration ideas. The 19th and 20th centuries were important for arbitration due to the introduction of formal laws like the English Arbitration Act of 1889 and international treaties. The period ended in the 1958 New York Convention, which shaped a worldwide system for recognizing and implementing arbitral awards (Al Enizi & Mahameed, 2023).

The origin of negotiation dates back to the beginning of human interaction and communication, involving direct communication and compromise to resolve issues related to properties, zones, and relationships. In previous tribal communities, negotiating was often the initial stage in conflict resolution, employed before any other dispute settlement methods. Negotiation as a proper procedure grew significantly with the growth of trade and diplomacy, established by the negotiation of treaties and trade contracts from the Peace of Westphalia in 1648 to contemporary commercial deliberations.

Conciliation, akin to mediation, is appreciated in numerous cultures for its counseling role. Conciliators in ancient Irish Brehon law, which dates back more than a millennium, had a decisive role in preserving societal order. Conciliation is a basic approach in Asia, especially in China and Japan, for resolving disputes. It is a prioritizing collective welfare over individual rights and liberties. In the 20th century, It was also formalized as a key method for resolving industrial-related issues in Europe and America and thereafter, it was also stretched to other areas such as family and environmental law.

4. Pakistan's Competition Law Framework

There are some significant differences between arbitration and mediation (Sourdin, 2016). The nonbinding nature of mediation stresses supportive dispute resolution with the backing of an impartial mediator, with a concentration on maintaining privacy and relationships. In contrast, arbitration is a more structured method that is preferred for its efficiency and finality in business disputes. It involves an impartial arbiter making a binding ruling, much like a private court system.

The function of the third party varies in negotiation and conciliation, even though both involve less formal procedures. A direct, private, and party-controlled conversation that can be used to resolve a variety of conflicts, negotiation is conducted between parties without the involvement of a third party (Gill et al., 2015). Conciliation is a great option for parties who want advice but yet want to preserve decision-making power since it involves the introduction of a neutral third party who actively leads the parties toward a resolution by offering knowledge and presenting options.

Additions to dispute resolution that are specific to alternative dispute resolution processes include expert determination and minitrials. Minitrials combine elements of mediation and arbitration, offering a nonbinding judgment to direct settlement. Expert determination is planned for technical differences in which a lawfully binding opinion is provided by an expert in the relevant field. ADR methods are selected based on the parties' need for a legally binding resolution as well as their distinctive demands for secrecy, suitability, and capability.

5. Advantages of Each ADR Method

Mediation delivers flexibility, privacy, and relationship maintenance, making it ideal for concerns requiring future interactions, including family or professional complications (Memon et al., 2018). Mediation also authorizes the participants to create their own solutions resulting in long-lasting outcomes. Arbitration is valued because to offer a less formal alternative to court proceedings for its timekeeping, and finality. It is also beneficial to resolving worldwide business conflicts.

Negotiation has prominent value due to having paramount control over the disputing parties. Negotiation applies to a wide range of situations and circumstances due to Its familiarity and flexibility, from personal to business disputes (Schweinsberg et al., 2022).

Conciliation has the benefits of mediation with the added worth of a conciliator who can offer recommendations and actively guide the parties to reach an amicable settlement. It would be helpful in conflicts where parties require expert input to reach a practicable agreement.

6. Global Adoption and Effectiveness of Alternative Dispute Resolution Methods"

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) methods are prised for proficiently resolving disputes beyond traditional court proceedings due to offering cost savings, quicker resolutions, privacy, and the preservation of relationships, especially in family and business disputes. The practical success and effectiveness of ADR methods are highlighted through case studies, showcasing their diverse applicability (Kruger, 2023).

Developed nations have focused on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) methods to resolve conflicts efficiently beyond traditional courtroom settings not only to save money but also to save society from endless litigation. I would like to highlight Singapore as the leading ADR pargon in Asia, alongside the UK, USA, Australia, and Canada representing exemplary practices globally. This analysis will help to see the effectiveness and efficiency of ADR methods because in these countries ADR techniques are being applied in true letter and spirit#.

Singapore stands as a paragon in Asia in using ADR methods, distinguished by its effectiveness in resolving disputes. The basic reason for its success is strong institutional support from entities like the <u>Singapore Mediation Centre (SMC) and Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC)</u>, which make sure a wide array of ADR services are accessible. The legal background, boosted by statutes such as The Mediation Act 2017 and amendments to the International Arbitration Act boosted the applicability and afforded a solid foundation for enforceable and just dispute resolutions. The role of the Judiciary is prominent and shows the judicial system's commitment by highlighting the ADR principles in landmark cases like "<u>HSBC Institutional Trust Services (Singapore) Ltd v Toshin Development Singapore Pte Ltd"</u> and "<u>Tomolugen Holdings Ltd and another v Silica Investors Ltd</u>,". Singapore Convention on Mediation further enhances Singapore's global ADR stature. Training and accreditation programs further back the

high success rates and cost-effectiveness of ADR developments in the region. All these collectively underline Singapore's ADR model as a benchmark for efficiency and effectiveness in Asia, offering rapid, cost-effective, and acceptable dispute resolutions while nurturing a culture of amicable settlement (Qu, 2019).

The UK being an international hub has exceptional approaches to ADR methods and has been proficiently integrated into the resolution of family, civil, and commercial differences, supported by a comprehensive legal context and judicial backing (Kruger, 2023). Family bonds are protected by using mediation as a tool and the same is also facilitated by the Family Law Act 1996. It is further endorsed by the "Mediation Information and Assessment Meetings" (MIAMs) before court proceedings, accentuating mediation's role in nurturing amicable solutions while prioritizing children's welfare and well-being. Civil and commercial disputes are governed by the "Arbitration Act 1996," which is preferred for its efficiency, expertise, privacy, and confidentiality, offering a binding resolution. Courts have also supported the ADR values by emphasizing the probable cost implications for parties unreasonably and arbitrarily refusing to engage in ADR in the landmark case "Halsey v. Milton Keynes General NHS Trust". The Civil Procedure Rules further advocate for its use at numerous litigation stages, encouraging a less adversarial method of dispute resolution. This unified and procedural environment guarantees that ADR is not only a practicable and feasible alternative to traditional litigation in the UK but also a preferred one (Blackham & Allen, 2019). A combination of ADR practices, in the USA, such as mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and conciliation into the legal fabric offers a reorganized and effective way for resolving disputes outside of adversarial court proceedings (Hassan & Malik, 2019). Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) lays a forceful foundation for arbitration. ADR principles are further strengthened by key Supreme Court decisions like AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion, which highlight the rationality and enforceability of arbitration clauses. Various state legislation promotes mediation, in the jurisdiction of family law, particularly in cases involving custody and visitation, to encourage resolutions to safeguard and maintain matrimonial harmony and rationality. The Uniform Mediation Act strengthens the mediation process by ensuring confidentiality and privacy, enhancing trust among participants. The American Bar Association, which is the most prominent bar having a pivotal role in ethical guidelines, along with court-connected negotiation and conciliation initiatives, upholds the values and effectiveness of the dispute resolution approaches. The above discussion shows the United State's commitment to facilitating reachable, non-confrontational, and satisfactory dispute resolutions, by widening the scope of justice and fairness through alternative means. In Australia ADR techniques such as mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and conciliation are being used to resolve disputes across family, civil, and other domains (Gramberg, 2015). The Family Law Act 1975 gives the mandate to use family dispute resolution in parenting disputes by emphasizing mediation as a prerequisite to court proceedings, thereby fostering a conciliatory environment and encouraging the preservation of family relationships (D'Almeida, 2021). Civil disputes are being driven towards ADR due to the force of The Civil Dispute Resolution Act 2011 which requires parties to try to settle their differences before going to court. Landmark cases like Brodyn Pty Ltd v. Davenport have simplified arbitration's role, particularly in the construction industry, establishing critical precedents for ADR's application. A case titled Spencer v. Commonwealth (High Court Australia) backed the use of mediation and conciliation in complex negotiations. ADR is a very important fragment of Australia's dispute resolution system. Mediation and conciliation are being used in specialized courts like VCAT and QCAT as part of their work. The National Alternative Dispute Resolution Advisory Council (NADRAC) also recommends ADR techniques. All these legislation and other sources suggest that ADR offers reachable, less adversarial, and more supportive avenues for dispute resolution in Australia (Riley et al., 2020).

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) methods are being stalwartly valued in Canada. Mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and conciliation are effectively implemented in settling divergences in family and civil troubles (Whaley, 2022). Courts are promoting the option of ADR, particularly in family law circumstances, with support from laws like Ontario's "Arbitration Act, 1991" and legal precedents such as "Rick v. Brandsema (2009)" by the Supreme Court. British Columbia's "Civil Resolution Tribunal Act" has improved the civil differences by valuing ADR principles, which increases the ease of access and promptness of resolving conflicts. Canada is too dedicated to promoting reachable and less accusatorial resolution processes, along with programs such as the Canadian Human Rights C(Rose & Suffling, 2001) services (Rose & Suffling, 2001).

The above discussion demonstrates a global shift towards less adversarial and more cost-effective methods of justice in diverse legal matters, aligning with modern communal needs and ADR techniques are the most favorable ones.

7. Global Impact of ADR: Navigating International Disputes Through Mediation, Arbitration, and Conciliation

ADR methods have played a transformative role in resolving complex international disputes. These are some key successes across the globe:

Several international clashes have been amicably resolved through mediation, including the Jammu and Kashmir issue through UN efforts and the Ecuador-Peru border clash, settled by the Guarantor States' mediation leading to the 1998 Brasilia Presidential Act. The Kenyan crisis saw successful mediation in 2008 by Kofi Annan, by giving a power-sharing formula for the government. The Camp David Accords, mediated by US President Jimmy Carter, resulted in a peace treaty between Egypt and Israel. The Good Friday Agreement, played a vital role in ending the Northern Ireland conflict, facilitated by international mediators. Many intricate global disputes have been resolved by showcasing mediation's capability to navigate and settle.

Arbitration is a highly effective settlement tool, as is obvious in the Bridgestone Firestone tire failure lawsuits of the early 2000s. These were promptly fixed through arbitration, allowing for swift corporate image recovery (Pinkham & Peng, 2017). Through the case titled Eritrea-Yemen arbitration over the Hanish Islands, the Bechtel v. Bolivia investment dispute, and the Philippines v. China South China Sea conflict, a wide range of international disputes were amicably settled that highlight the effectiveness of arbitration, such as binding natures, and efficacy (Serhii et al., 2021).

Various crucial disputes have been effectively resolved showcasing negotiation's capability to address multifarious global issues through dialogue such as United States government shutdown in 2013; the 16-day deadlock ended with a negotiated agreement between political leaders that resurrected the government and stopped a potential debt default, the 2015 Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action between the US and Iran over nuclear activities, the Oslo Accords between Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organisation for Middle East peace, and the peaceful and pacific dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991 (Schweinsberg et al., 2022). The Argentina-Chile border conflict through papal mediation in the 1980s, the Indus Waters Treaty between India and Pakistan in 1960, and the 2018 maritime boundary agreement between Australia

and East Timor have been properly resolved are the classical examples of conciliation effectiveness in achieving peaceable international resolutions (Bahta, 2019).

All the above examples demonstrate that ADR methods are not only efficient modes of dispute resolution but also more cost-effective and confidential.

8. Legal Frameworks

Legal frameworks have a substantial impact on the field of ADR and how these techniques can be applied and forced. Laws are being developed by the whole world less or more to encourage or necessitate the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) for conflicts before resorting to litigation. New York Convention is the foundation stone and International laws and treaties, including Pakistan's 2011 Act, are per the Convention, which develops international arbitration by guaranteeing the enforcement of foreign awards. Singapore being the paragon of ADR in Asia, boosted its ADR framework by enacting the International Arbitration Act and the Mediation Act in 2017. The Arbitration Act 1996 and civil procedure laws have strengthened the UK's ADR system, which reassures the use of arbitration and mediation in civil conflicts. The USA's, Federal Arbitration Act along with state-specific Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) initiatives, backs the ADR approaches. Canada has designed the ADR system by provincial arbitration laws and family mediation statutes, while Australia aligned the mediation in family conflicts and commercial arbitration with the concepts of the Uncitral model law. These legislative frameworks along with global agreements, emphasize the essential functions of ADR in the effective resolution of disputes across different nations.

9. Applicability in Different Contexts

Normally arbitration is preferred in commercial disputes due to its binding nature and enforceability across borders which are important for international corporate operations (Pinkham & Peng, 2017). Mediation and negotiation are the most considerable approaches due to their cost-effectiveness and safe ways to maintain corporate relationships in resolving disputes more efficiently (Mota et al., 2023). Mediation and conciliation are like a cornerstone in resolving family disputes, predominantly in delicate matters like divorce, custody, and visitation of minor issues. Open discussion and mutual agreement facilitate maintaining family bonds, particularly in children's lives.

10. Limitations and Challenges

The above discussion highlights that ADR techniques have diverse limitations and obstacles that might affect how well they work and where they can be used in different conflict circumstances. As per the latest studies, these obstacles arise from the fundamental characteristics of each ADR method, as well as the interactions between the parties involved in the disagreement.

10.1 Challenges in Mediation and Arbitration

Power imbalances may cause mediation ineffective because the effectiveness of mediation depends on collective bargaining and shared consent. Naturally, the binding force plays a key role in resolving disputes, in some cases, the lack of a legally binding resolution may lead parties to track litigation.

Cost-effectiveness is the foundation of justice, it is being observed by experts that extraordinary costs are being incurred in arbitration, especially in complicated international disputes that span across various legal jurisdictions.

Limited opportunities for appeal are also a hurdle for bringing arbitration into frequent use even though it is much time-saving technique. Uneven bargaining strength can hinder negotiation efficiency, often resulting in standstills. The absence of a formal Organisation could be a drawback in tricky issues that necessitate professional legal or technical awareness. Resistance to Suggestions: The conciliator's leadership or a formal resolution style has a pivotal role and, any loophole in the ability can affect the whole process.

11. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) is evolving and upgrading itself with new trends that will boost its future. To resolve conflicts; digital platforms and technology through engagement of online dispute resolution (ORD) is an emergent trend having growing popularity and is predominantly important in the current digital era. It will provide exceptional accessibility and convenience where e-commerce disputes and other online clashes will be properly addressed. Technical advancements and sharp cultural awareness are having a significant impact on the efficiency of ADR techniques. Online dispute resolution (ODR) along with other technologies has proved the significance of ADR) values by making it more appropriate and user-friendly. Focusing on cultural capability in ADR techniques guarantees that resolutions reflect the different backgrounds of disputing parties, leading to just and more comprehensive outcomes. Technological advancements are renovating ADR into a more dynamic, reachable, and globally momentous conflict resolution instrument.

12. Suggestions for Introducing Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanisms in Pakistan

Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), is now an established international practice. After going through the literature, I recommend the following suggestions for the proper implementation of ADR mechanisms in Pakistan.

12.1 Establishment of ADR Centres:

Establishment of ADR centers in Pakistan, akin to the Singapore Mediation Centre (SMC) and Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC), coupled with a variety of ADR services, including mediation, arbitration, negotiation, and conciliation.

12.2 Legal and Regulatory Framework:

Development of a comprehensive legal framework by enacting specific legislation similar to the Mediation Act 2017 in Singapore and the Arbitration Act 1996 in the UK.

12.3 Training and Accreditation:

Training and accreditation programs for ADR practitioners. Drawing on the accreditation models in Singapore and Australia, improve an organized curriculum that shields ADR theory, ethical deliberations, and practical skills.

12.4 Public Awareness and Education:

Launch public awareness campaigns and education programs to encourage the benefits of ADR among the general public and precise target groups such as businesses, legal professionals, and government agencies. Highlight the cost-effectiveness, time savings, and relationship-safeguarding facets of ADR.

12.5 Integration into Legal Education:

Chip in ADR courses into legal education curricula at Pakistani universities to equip future legal professionals with essential knowledge and skills in ADR approaches. This method mirrors the prominence of ADR education in countries like the USA and Australia.

12.6 Judicial Support and Referral:

Encourage the judiciary to actively support ADR techniques by referring suitable cases to ADR practices before ensuing litigation. This can be facilitated through procedural rules that mandate ADR deliberation, like the "Mediation Information and Assessment Meetings" (MIAMs) required in the UK.

12.7 Online Dispute Resolution (ODR):

Explore and take on online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms to surge accessibility and accessibility for disputants, especially in remote areas. This follows the global tendency towards digitalization of ADR processes, fast-tracked by the COVID-19 pandemic.

12.8 Customisation for Cultural and Sectoral Needs:

Tailor ADR mechanisms to reveal Pakistan's unique cultural norms and the precise requirements of various sectors, safeguarding that ADR practices are culturally suitable and sectorally relevant.

12.9 International Collaboration and Learning:

Foster international collaborations to learn from and adapt efficacious ADR practices from other jurisdictions.

12.9.1 Monitoring and Evaluation:

Establish a strong monitoring and valuation system To judge the adeptness, and user satisfaction of ADR services is sine-qua-non.

By implementing the above suggestions, the Alternative dispute resolution landscape can be significantly improved in Pakistan, making it in line with international best practices.

13. Conclusion

In drawing this analysis to a close, the effectiveness of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) techniques mainly depends upon the dispute's specific background and the parties involved (Kruger, 2023). Each ADR method provides a diverse pathway to resolve conflicts beyond the traditional court setting, with its own distinctive set of advantages and limitations, highlighting the necessity for a deep understanding of their applicability across a spectrum of scenarios (Yuli Susanti & Gayo, 2023). Online dispute resolution

(ODR) (D'Almeida, 2021), being a growing field, represents an important leap forward by blending the traditional features of ADR with the boundless potential of digital innovation, thereby increasing accessibility in dispute resolution (SUTIYOSO, 2023). In conclusion, the comprehensive analysis conducted by the author regarding alternative dispute resolution (ADR) methods underscores the crucial significance of mediation as a fundamental component within contemporary dispute resolution frameworks. In this analysis, the author has illustrated the inherent synergies that exist among various alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms, while also confirming the superiority of mediation in promoting collaborative and human-centered resolutions. The adoption of mediation not only enables conflicting parties to establish mutually advantageous agreements but also enhances social cohesion by emphasizing fostering harmonious relationships. In the context of modern justice systems, it is crucial to allocate resources toward enhancing professional expertise, integrating technology, and securing legislative backing to maximize the effectiveness of mediation. By advocating for mediation as a catalyst for fair, easily accessible, and comprehensive resolution of conflicts, we create the conditions for a fairer and more inclusive society. ADR methods continue to evolve in a cycle with technological progress and a growing prominence on cultural sensitivity, they are set to redefine the landscape of conflict resolution, promising a future where dispute resolution is more flexible, comprehensive, and attuned to the everchanging dynamics of global interactions.

Authors Contribution

Muhammad Farhan: Proofreading and editing the article.
Omer Mahmood Watto: Conduct the study, proofreading.

Muhammad Islam: Supervise the study and prepare the article.

Syed Arshad Hussain: Study analysis and proofreading.

Funding

This article was not supported by any funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest/ Disclosures

The authors have disclosed that there are no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

Al Enizi, Z. K., & Mahameed, W. F. (2023). International Experience of Applying Transparency Rules in Arbitration Processes between Investors and States. *Accounting, Economics and Law: A Convivium*, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1515/ael-2019-0072

Bahta, T. H. (2019). Amicable dispute resolution in civil and commercial matters in ethiopia: Negotiation, conciliation and compromise. *Mizan Law Review*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.4314/mlr.v13i1.1

Besaiso, H., Fenn, P., & Emsley, M. (2016). Alternative dispute resolution in Palestine: The myth and dilemma of construction mediation. *International Journal of Law in the Built Environment*, 8(3), 269-286.

Blackham, A., & Allen, D. (2019). Resolving Discrimination Claims Outside the Courts: Alternative Dispute Resolution in Australia and the United Kingdom. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3362157

Condliffe, P. (2007). Book Review: Managing workplace conflict: Alternative dispute resolution in Australia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 45(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/1038411107075759

Cortés, P. (2023). Embedding alternative dispute resolution in the civil justice system: a taxonomy for ADR referrals and a digital pathway to increase the uptake of ADR. *Legal Studies*, 43(2). https://doi.org/10.1017/lst.2022.42

D'Almeida, G. B. M. (2021). 'Digital family justice - from alternative dispute resolution to online dispute resolution?' *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, 43(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2021.1917706

Gill, A., Gray, J., Skitmore, M., & Callaghan, S. (2015). Comparison of the effects of litigation and ADR in South-East Queensland. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 15(3). https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2015.1066568

Gramberg, B. Van. (2015). Managing Workplace Conflict: Alternative Dispute Resolution in Australia. *Lamp*, 72(1).

Hassan, A., & Malik, D. M. (2019). Dispute Resolution Practices in USA, Australia and UK/EU. *Global Social Sciences Review*, *IV*(I). https://doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2019(iv-i).48

Hryhoriev, V. Yu., & Kryvoborodyi, V. S. (2023). MEDIATION AS AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION METHOD. *Juridical Scientific and Electronic Journal*, 4. https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0374/2023-4/33

Jamillah, J., & Maswandi, M. (2023). Alternative Dispute Resolution In Cyber Dispute Resolution With Mediation Techniques. *SASI*, 29(1). https://doi.org/10.47268/sasi.v29i1.128

Kingsbury, B. (2009). The concept of 'law'in global administrative law. *European Journal of International Law*, 20(1), 23-57.

Kruger, T. (2023). Alternative dispute resolution. In *Jurisdiction, Recognition and Enforcement in Matrimonial and Parental Responsibility Matters*. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781839103988.00035

Memon, M. A., Cheah, J. H., Ramayah, T., Ting, H., & Chuah, F. (2018). Mediation analysis issues and recommendations. In *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling* (Vol. 2, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.47263/JASEM.2(1)01

Mota, F. B., Braga, L. A. M., & Cabral, B. P. (2023). Alternative Dispute Resolution Research Landscape from 1981 to 2022. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 32(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-023-09848-8

Nadeemullah, M. (2023). Reforming Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Mechanisms for Enhanced Justice Delivery in Pakistan. *SBJHSS*, *2*(1), 21-34.

Pinkham, B. C., & Peng, M. W. (2017). Overcoming institutional voids via arbitration. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48(3). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-016-0026-z

Qu, L. (2019). Family Dispute Resolution: Use, Timing, and Outcomes. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy*, 40(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/anzf.1349

Reich, A. (2018). The effectiveness of the WTO dispute settlement system: A statistical analysis (pp. 1-43). Springer Singapore.

Riley, M., Prenzler, T., & McKillop, N. (2020). Alternative dispute resolution and mediation of complaints against police in Australia and New Zealand. *Police Practice and Research*, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2018.1500284

Rose, M., & Suffling, R. (2001). Alternative dispute resolution and the protection of natural areas in Ontario, Canada. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 56(1–2). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(01)00156-6

Schweinsberg, M., Thau, S., & Pillutla, M. M. (2022). Negotiation Impasses: Types, Causes, and Resolutions. In *Journal of Management* (Vol. 48, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063211021657

Serhii, K., Olga, S., & Nelli, G. (2021). The validity, effectiveness, and enforceability of an arbitration agreement: Issues and solutions. *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe*, 4(4). https://doi.org/10.33327/AJEE-18-4.4-n000088

Sourdin, T. (2016). International Dispatch: ADR Trends Down Under. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2723659

SUTIYOSO, B. (2023). Implementation of Mediation As Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in Civil Jurisdiction. *International Journal of Environmental, Sustainability, and Social Science*, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.38142/ijesss.v4i1.487

Whaley, K. A. (2022). Resolution of estate and trust disputes: mediation and Alternative Dispute Resolution in Canada. *Trusts & Trustees*, 28(7). https://doi.org/10.1093/tandt/ttz115

Yuli Susanti, A., & Gayo, S. (2023). The Use of Mediation as an Alternative Dispute Resolution in the Settlement of Banking Disputes. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 10(4). https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230441