

 Journal of International Law & Human Rights	Journal of International Law & Human Rights ISSN (Print): 3007-0120 ISSN (Online): 3007-0139 Volume 5, No.8, 2026, 49-51 DOI: https://doi.org/10.59075/jilhr.v5i8.239 Journal Homepage: http://journals.centeriir.org/index.php/jilhr	 Center of Innovation in Interdisciplinary Research
--	--	---

Critical Analysis of Worker's Rights and Protection in the Gig Economy in Pakistan

Advocate Khadija Shoukat¹

¹Department of Law, College of Law, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan,
Email: khadija.shoukat57@gmail.com

Abstract

The gig economy has revolutionized the employment landscape globally, introducing flexible, task-based work managed via digital platforms. In Pakistan, this sector has expanded exponentially across ride-hailing, food delivery, and digital freelancing. However, this growth has exposed significant regulatory deficits. This research paper critically evaluates the existing legal framework governing gig workers in Pakistan and examines the vulnerabilities stemming from ambiguous employment classifications, lack of social security, and non-existent dispute resolution mechanisms. Employing a mixed-methods doctrinal and empirical assessment, this paper highlights how traditional labor statutes fail to protect gig platform workers. Ultimately, the study presents actionable policy and statutory recommendations to align domestic regulations with international labor standards, fostering an equitable environment for independent digital workers.

Keywords: Gig Economy, Labor Rights, Employment Classification, Pakistan Labor Laws, Social Security, Legal Safeguards



© 2026 The Authors. Published by [Center of Innovation in Interdisciplinary Research \(CIIR\)](#). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Common Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0

Article History: Received: 01-08-2026 Accepted: 30-09-2026 Published: 08-10-2026

Corresponding Author Email: khadija.shoukat57@gmail.com

Introduction

The global expansion of the digital economy has fundamentally reconfigured conventional workplace structures. The "gig economy"—defined by short-term contracts, task-oriented engagements, and digital platform mediation—offers unprecedented flexibility and autonomy to workers. In Pakistan, platform-based engagements have experienced rapid proliferation, attracting a diverse demographic into ride-hailing services (e.g., InDrive, Yango), food delivery networks (e.g., Foodpanda), and international digital freelancing marketplaces.

Despite serving as a vital engine for economic survival and youth employment, the gig model operates largely within a legal vacuum in Pakistan. The foundational premise of traditional Pakistani labor legislation relies on a binary employment structure: formal employers versus defined employees. By designating gig workers as "independent contractors" or "third-party delivery partners," platform entities systematically evade statutory liabilities regarding minimum wages, working hour restrictions, medical insurance, occupational safety, and severance provisions. This paper undertakes a rigorous legal scrutiny of these statutory loopholes, examining the socioeconomic precarity imposed upon platform workers in Pakistan.

Literature Review & Theoretical Framework

Scholarly literature on platform labor emphasizes the inherent tension between algorithmic management and

worker protection. Internationally, seminal works by Katz and Krueger (2016) and Juliet Schor (2020) have highlighted how platform capitalism shifts operational risks directly onto service providers. In the South Asian context, research confirms that statutory frameworks have struggled to adjust to non-standard work arrangements.

In Pakistan, legal scholarship regarding digital labor remains in its nascent stages. While Ahmed and Iqbal (2019) examined the general friction between digital platforms and traditional statutory definitions, empirical analysis of worker vulnerability remains limited. Existing studies consistently identify three core vulnerabilities: misclassification of employment status, absence of institutional safety nets, and unilateral termination driven by algorithmic customer ratings without due process or right of appeal.

Statement of Research Gaps & Questions

A critical gap exists regarding how Pakistan's domestic labor statutes can be restructured to extend protection to platform workers without stifling technological innovation. To address this, this paper formulates five primary research inquiries:

- RQ1: To what extent do existing statutory frameworks in Pakistan protect workers engaged in platform-based transportation and delivery services?
- RQ2: How do compensation models and benefit allocations for gig workers compare against statutory minimum wage standards?
- RQ3: What primary legal and operational hurdles prevent platform workers from asserting fundamental labor rights?
- RQ4: What procedural mechanisms exist for resolving disputes between workers and platform algorithms?
- RQ5: What legislative reforms are necessary to harmonize domestic statutes with international labor standards?

Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods legal research methodology. Doctrinal legal analysis was conducted on domestic labor statutes, including the Industrial Relations Act (2012), Standing Orders Ordinance (1968), and relevant provincial enactments. Additionally, empirical qualitative data was gathered through structured interviews with 40 gig workers across major urban centers (focusing on ride-hailing and delivery sectors) alongside comparative analysis of international regulatory frameworks from the European Union and the United Kingdom.

Evaluation of Pakistan's Legal Framework

Pakistan's statutory labor architecture is fundamentally ill-equipped for digital platform work. The statutory definition of a "worker" or "workman" under the West Pakistan Industrial Commercial and Employment (Standing Orders) Ordinance 1968 requires proof of direct master-servant relationship and continuous employment.

Analysis of Key Legislation:

Statutory Enactment, Conventional Scope, Application to Gig Workers, Standing Orders Ordinance (1968), Defines terms of employment, termination, and severance for industrial establishments. Excluded: Platforms classify workers as independent contractors, bypassing statutory protection. Industrial Relations Act (2012) guarantees rights to trade unionism and collective bargaining. | Excluded: Independent contractors lack

legal standing to form recognized bargaining units. EOBI Act (1976) & Provincial Social Security provides old-age pensions, disability benefits, and healthcare coverage. Excluded: Absence of formal employer contribution requirements leaves workers uncovered. Workmen's Compensation Act (1923) Mandates compensation for occupational injuries and fatalities. Ambiguous: High liability friction; platforms deny liability for road accidents.

International Law & Normative Standards

Pakistan is a signatory to core International Labour Organization (ILO) conventions, including Convention No. 87 (Freedom of Association) and Convention No. 98 (Right to Organize and Collective Bargaining). Denying platform workers the right to organize directly conflicts with these international treaty obligations.

Furthermore, international precedents offer viable regulatory blueprints. The UK Supreme Court ruling in *Uber BV v Aslam [2021]* established that platform drivers qualify as "workers" entitled to minimum wage and paid leave based on the degree of control exercised by the platform algorithm. Similarly, the EU Platform Work Directive creates a legal presumption of employment when platforms exercise control over pricing, performance monitoring, and task assignment. Pakistan's failure to incorporate similar legal presumptions leaves its domestic workforce highly vulnerable.

Key Challenges & Policy Recommendations

Empirical findings reveal that gig workers in Pakistan face severe structural precarity. Algorithmic management enforces strict compliance while denying basic employment guarantees.

1. Legal Classification Reform: Enact a statutory category of "Dependent Contractor" in provincial labor laws to grant gig workers minimum wage floors and working hour caps.
2. Portable Social Security: Establish a joint platform-state funded social security pool to provide healthcare, accident coverage, and EOBI pensions.
3. Algorithmic Transparency: Mandate statutory rights against arbitrary account deactivation, requiring human review and formal dispute mechanisms.
4. Collective Bargaining: Amend the Industrial Relations Act to allow platform workers to register associations and negotiate pay tariffs legally.

Conclusion

The expansion of Pakistan's gig economy must not occur at the expense of fundamental labor rights. While digital platforms provide crucial economic opportunities, operating outside statutory oversight perpetuates worker exploitation and socioeconomic insecurity. By adopting statutory definitions for dependent contractors, establishing portable social security funds, and enforcing algorithmic transparency, Pakistan can align its labor market with international human rights standards while maintaining economic dynamism.

References & Bibliography

1. Bari, F., & Ur Rahman, F. (2020). Labor Law in the Digital Age: A Comparative Study of Pakistan and the UK. *Journal of South Asian Studies*, 8(2), 179-197.
2. Iqbal, S., & Haider, A. (2021). Challenges Faced by Gig Workers in Pakistan: An Empirical Study. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 48(10), 1424-1443.
3. Katz, L. F., & Krueger, A. B. (2016). The Rise and Nature of Alternative Work Arrangements in the United States. *NBER Working Paper* No. 22667.